

# Public Health, Workforce, Quality, and Other Provisions in H.R. 3200

Updated September 23, 2009

**Congressional Research Service** 

https://crsreports.congress.gov

R40745

# **Summary**

Health care reform is at the top of the domestic policy agenda for the 111<sup>th</sup> Congress, driven by concerns about the growing ranks of the uninsured and the unsustainable growth in spending on health care and health insurance. But efforts to improve access to care and control rising health care costs also will require changes to the health care delivery system. Experts point to a growing body of evidence of the health care system's failure to consistently provide high-quality care to all Americans. Major challenges to the delivery of high-quality care include improving patient safety by eliminating medical errors, eradicating disparities in care, reducing the burden of chronic disease, and eliminating unnecessary and ineffective care that compromises quality, drives up costs, and neglects the needs of patients.

The health reform debate has embraced a number of proposals to address these challenges and improve the delivery of health care services. They include initiatives to encourage individuals to adopt healthier lifestyles, and to change the way that physicians and other providers treat and manage disease. Delivery reform proposals focus on expanding the primary care workforce, encouraging the use of clinical preventive services, and strengthening the role of chronic care management. However, health care delivery reform cannot happen unless mechanisms are in place to drive change in the systems of care. Key drivers include performance measurement and the public dissemination of performance information, comparative effectiveness research, adoption of health information technology, and, most importantly, the alignment of payment incentives with high-quality care.

Congress took an important first step toward reforming the health care delivery system when it enacted the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA; P.L. 111-5) in February 2009. ARRA included \$1.1 billion for comparative effectiveness research and established an interagency advisory panel to help coordinate and support the research. It also incorporated the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act, which is intended to promote the widespread adoption of health information technology (HIT) for the electronic sharing of clinical data among hospitals, physicians, and other health care stakeholders.

The health reform legislation (H.R. 3200) introduced in the House and approved by the Committees on Ways and Means, Energy and Commerce, and Education and Labor includes numerous provisions intended to increase the primary care and public health workforce, promote preventive services, and strengthen quality measurement, among other things. H.R. 3200 would amend and expand on many of the existing health workforce programs authorized under Title VII (health professions) and Title VIII (nursing) of the Public Health Service Act (PHSA). It would create a Public Health Workforce Corps and establish a new loan repayment program, modeled on the National Health Service Corps (NHSC), for individuals who agree to practice in medically underserved areas with unmet health care needs. The House bill also would make a number of changes to the Medicare Graduate Medical Education (GME) program, which subsidizes medical residency programs, in part to encourage the training of more primary care physicians.

In addition, H.R. 3200 would bolster quality improvement activities, including performance measurement, and broaden Medicare and Medicaid coverage of clinical preventive services. The legislation would establish a multi-billion dollar Public Health Investment Fund to provide additional funding for these and other new programs and activities.

# **Contents**

Introduction	1
Health Care Delivery Reform	1
Drivers of Reform	
American Recovery and Reinvestment Act	3
Overview of Report	
Public Health Investment Fund	4
Sec. 2002. Public Health Investment Fund	5
Health Centers	5
Background and Issues	
Sec. 2101. Increased Funding for Community Health Centers	
Sec. 2583. Liability Protection For Health Center Volunteers	
Sec. 2511. School-Based Health Clinics	6
Sec. 2512. Nurse-Managed Health Centers	
Health Workforce	7
Background and Issues	
National Health Service Corps	
Sec. 2201. National Health Service Corps	
Sec. 2202. Authorization of Appropriations	
Promotion of Primary Care and Dentistry	
Sec. 2211. Frontline Health Providers	
Sec. 2213. Primary Care Student Loans Sec. 2213. Primary Care Training and Enhancement	
Sec. 2214. Training of Medical Residents in Community-Based Settings	
Sec. 2215. Training in Dentistry	
Sec. 2216. Authorization of Appropriations	
Sec. 2217. Study on Effectiveness of Scholarships and Loan Repayments	
Nursing Workforce	.11
Sec. 2221. Amendments to the Public Health Service Act	
Sec. 2521. Grants for Nursing Training and Pipeline Programs	
Sec. 2551. Reducing Student-to-School Nurse Ratios	
Public Health Workforce	
Sec. 2231. Public Health Workforce Corps	
Sec. 2233. Public Health Training Centers	
Sec. 2234. Preventive Medicine and Public Health Training Grant Program	13
Sec. 2235. Authorization of Appropriations	
Workforce Diversity, Cultural Competency, and Interdisciplinary Care	
Sec. 2241. Faculty Loan Repayments	14
Sec. 2242. Nursing Workforce Diversity Grants	
Sec. 2243. Coordination of Diversity and Cultural Competency Programs	
Sec. 2251. Cultural and Linguistic Competency Training	
Sec. 2252. Innovations in Interdisciplinary Care Training	
Health Workforce Evaluation and Assessment	
Authorization of Appropriations	
Sec. 2281. Authorization of Appropriations	
	- 0

Medicare Graduate Medical Education	16
Sec. 1501. Distribution of Unused Residency Positions	16
Sec. 1502. Increasing Training in Non-Provider Settings	17
Sec. 1503. Rules for Counting Resident Time for Non-Patient Care Activities	17
Sec. 1504. Preservation of Resident Cap Positions from Closed Hospitals	17
Sec. 1505. Improving Accountability for Approved Medical Residency Training	17
Other Workforce Provisions	18
Long-Term Care and Family Caregivers	18
Long-Term Care and Family Caregiver Support	18
Workforce Investment Act	
Health Professions Training for Diversity	
Web-Site on Health Care Labor Market	
Online Health Workforce Training Programs	
Quality	
Background and Issues	
Quality Measurements	
Sec. 1441. Establishment of National Priorities for Quality Improvement	
Sec. 1442. Development of New Quality Measures	
Sec. 1443. Selection of Quality Measures	
Sec. 1444. Application of Quality Measures	
Sec. 1445. Consensus-Based Entity Funding	
Sec. 1446. Quality Indicators for Care of People with Alzheimer's Disease	
Sec. 1447. Study on Five Star Quality Rating System	
Best Practices and Key Health Indicators	
Sec. 2401. Implementation of Best Practices in the Delivery of Health Care	
Sec. 2402. Assistant Secretary for Health Information	
Sec. 2403. Authorization of Appropriations.	
Public Reporting of Health Care-Associated Infections	
Sec. 1461. Public Reporting of Health Care-Associated Infection	
Comparative Effectiveness Research	
Sec. 1401. Center for Comparative Effectiveness Research	
Sec. 1802. Comparative Effectiveness Research Trust Fund	
Medicare and Medicaid Nursing Homes.	
Secs. 1411-1432. Improving Transparency, Enforcement, and Staff Training	
Health Disparities	
Background and Issues	
Secs. 1221-1224. Medicare Beneficiaries with Limited English Proficiency	25
Prevention and Wellness	26
Background and Issues	26
Coverage of Clinical Preventive Services	
Sec. 122. Essential Benefits Package Defined	
Sec. 1306. Medicare Coverage and Waiver of Cost-Sharing	
Sec. 1307. Waiver of Medicare Deductible for Colorectal Cancer Screening	
Sec. 1310. Expanding Access to Vaccines under Medicare	
Sec. 1311. Expansion of Medicare Covered Preventive Services at FQHCs	
Sec. 1312. Certified Diabetes Educators as Certified Medicare Providers	
Sec. 1711. Medicaid Coverage of Preventive Services	
Sec. 1712. Medicaid Coverage of Tobacco Cessation Products	
Provisions in the Public Health Service Act.	

	29
Employer-Provided Wellness Programs	31
Sec. 2552. Wellness Program Grants	31
Food and Drug Administration	32
Background and Issues	32
National Medical Device Registry	
Sec. 2561. National Medical Device Registry	
Nutrition Labeling	33
Sec. 2562. Chain Restaurant Menus and Vending Machines	33
Generic Drugs	
Sec. 2563. Protecting Consumer Access to Generic Drugs	
Biosimilars	
Sec. 2565. Licensure Pathway for Biosimilar Biological Products.	
Sec. 2566. Fees Relating to Biosimilar Biological Products.	36
Emergency Care	37
Background and Issues	37
Sec. 2541. Trauma Care Centers	37
Sec. 2542. Emergency Care Coordination	38
Sec. 2543. Regionalized Communication Systems for Emergency Care Response	38
Sec. 2544. Emergency Medical Technician Training for Veterans	
Sec. 2545. Dental Emergency Responders: Public Health and Medical Response	
Sec. 2546. Dental Emergency Responders: Homeland Security	
Behavioral Health Care	40
Background and Issues	40
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits	40
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers	40 40
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits  Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers  Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training	40 40 41
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits  Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers  Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training  Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression	40 40 41
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits  Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers  Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training  Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression	40 40 41 41
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits  Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers  Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training  Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression	40 40 41 41 42
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits  Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers  Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training	40 41 41 41 42 42
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits  Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers  Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training  Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression  Pain Care and Management  Sec. 2551. Institute of Medicine Conference on Pain	40 41 41 41 42 42
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression  Pain Care and Management Sec. 2551. Institute of Medicine Conference on Pain Sec. 2552. Pain Research Sec. 2553. Public Awareness Campaign on Pain Management  Miscellaneous	40 41 41 41 42 42 42
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits  Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers  Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training	40 41 41 42 42 42 42 42
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression.  Pain Care and Management Sec. 2551. Institute of Medicine Conference on Pain Sec. 2552. Pain Research Sec. 2553. Public Awareness Campaign on Pain Management  Miscellaneous Sec. 2524. Telehealth Grant Programs Sec. 2525. School Influenza Vaccination Centers	40 41 41 42 42 42 42 42 43
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression	40 41 41 42 42 42 42 43 43
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression	40 41 41 42 42 42 42 43 43 44
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression	40 41 41 42 42 42 42 43 43 44
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression	40 41 41 42 42 42 42 43 43 44 44
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression  Pain Care and Management Sec. 2551. Institute of Medicine Conference on Pain Sec. 2552. Pain Research Sec. 2553. Public Awareness Campaign on Pain Management  Miscellaneous Sec. 2524. Telehealth Grant Programs Sec. 2525. School Influenza Vaccination Centers Sec. 2526. WISEWOMAN Program Sec. 2527. Healthy Teen Initiative to Prevent Teen Pregnancy Sec. 2528. Grants for National Autism Training Initiative Sec. 2529. Medication Management Services for Treating Chronic Disease Sec. 2531. Grants to Promote Positive Health Behaviors and Outcomes	40 41 41 42 42 42 42 43 43 44 45 45
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression	40 41 41 42 42 42 42 43 43 44 45 45 46
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression  Pain Care and Management Sec. 2551. Institute of Medicine Conference on Pain Sec. 2552. Pain Research Sec. 2553. Public Awareness Campaign on Pain Management  Miscellaneous Sec. 2524. Telehealth Grant Programs Sec. 2525. School Influenza Vaccination Centers Sec. 2526. WISEWOMAN Program Sec. 2527. Healthy Teen Initiative to Prevent Teen Pregnancy Sec. 2528. Grants for National Autism Training Initiative Sec. 2529. Medication Management Services for Treating Chronic Disease Sec. 2531. Grants to Promote Positive Health Behaviors and Outcomes Sec. 2581. States Failing to Adhere to Certain Employment Obligations Sec. 2582. Study and Termination of Duplicative Grant Programs	40 41 41 42 42 42 42 43 44 44 45 46 46
Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression	40 41 41 42 42 42 42 43 43 44 45 46 46

Appendixes	
Appendix. Acronyms Used in the Report	47
Contacts	
Author Information	48

# Introduction

Health care reform is at the top of the domestic policy agenda for the 111<sup>th</sup> Congress, driven by concerns about the growing ranks of the uninsured and the unsustainable growth in spending on health care and health insurance. Improving access to care and controlling rising costs will require changes to both the financing and delivery of health care. Experts point to a growing body of evidence of the health care system's failure to consistently provide high-quality care to all Americans.

In a November 2008 report outlining its goals for health reform, the National Priorities Partnership, representing all the major stakeholder groups in the health sector, identified four major challenges to the delivery of high-quality care. The first is to improve patient safety by eliminating medical errors and other adverse events. These errors mostly result from faulty systems, processes, and conditions that lead to mistakes. The second challenge is to eradicate disparities in care. Racial and ethnic minorities and low-income groups face disproportionately higher rates of disease, disability, and mortality, largely because of variations in access to care, and quality of care. The third challenge is to reduce the burden of chronic disease, which affects almost half of all Americans and accounts for three-quarters of health care spending. The final challenge is to eliminate unnecessary and ineffective care that compromises quality, drives up costs, and neglects the needs of patients. According to the Institute of Medicine, an estimated 30%-40% of health care spending is wasted on unnecessary and even unsafe care.<sup>2</sup>

# Health Care Delivery Reform

While primarily focused on health care financing issues, the health reform debate has embraced a number of proposals to address these challenges and improve the delivery of health care services. They include initiatives to encourage individuals to adopt healthier lifestyles, and to change the way that physicians and other providers treat and manage disease. Delivery reform proposals focus on (1) expanding the primary care workforce, (2) encouraging the use of clinical preventive services, and (3) strengthening the role of chronic care management. The current system places a high value on specialty care, rather than primary care. Patients with multiple chronic conditions often receive care from several providers in different settings. Among other things, this can compromise patients' understanding of their conditions and ways to manage them. And the incomplete or inaccurate transfer of information among providers can lead to poor outcomes. Care coordination is seen as an important aspect of health care that helps avoid waste, and the over- and underuse of medications, diagnostic tests, and therapies.

Health workforce policy has emerged as an important component of the health reform debate. Transforming the nation's health care delivery system—from one that is focused on fragmented specialty care for acute illness to one that places a greater emphasis on primary care, disease prevention, and the coordination and management of care for chronic illness across settings—will require significant changes in health professions education and training. While some advisory groups have warned of a future physician shortage, based on the growing patient demand for services, others caution that simply adding more physicians to the current health care system will

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> National Priorities Partnership, *National Priorities and Goals: Aligning Our Efforts to Transform America's Healthcare*. Washington, DC: National Quality Forum, 2008. For more information on the work of the Partnership, go to http://www.nationalprioritiespartnership.org/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Institute of Medicine, National Academy of Engineering, *Building a Better Delivery System: A New Engineering/Health Care Partnership.* Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2005.

increase costs and not improve accessibility or quality. Currently, the number of physicians per capita varies significantly across the country. But that variation is largely driven by where physicians like to live and practice, rather than by patient need. Moreover, higher physician supply is not associated with better patient outcomes or satisfaction, or improved quality of care.<sup>3</sup> Instead of focusing on overall physician supply, health policy analysts recommend a workforce policy that couples the training of more primary care physicians (and other primary care providers) with the promotion and development of integrated systems of care.

Expanding the use of clinical preventive services is a key goal of delivery reform and often touted as having the potential to reduce health care costs. Such services include immunizations and other interventions that prevent the onset of disease (known as primary prevention), and screening tests that detect the presence of an incipient disease (known as secondary prevention). While there is clear evidence that clinical preventive services can improve health and may be cost-effective (i.e., providing good value for their cost), few of these interventions are cost-saving.<sup>4</sup>

Proponents of delivery reform have also embraced the concept of a medical home, intended to improve the quality of care through partnerships between patients and specially trained primary care physicians. The physician helps the patient manage his or her own care and coordinates services across settings (specialists' offices, hospitals, and laboratories) and types of care (acute, chronic, and preventive). Concern about the rising costs of treating chronic disease and the lack of coordination of care also has generated keen interest in disease management programs. These programs, typically focused on a specific disease such as diabetes, help patients manage their own care. Program elements include patient education, symptom monitoring, and adherence to treatment plans. Disease management programs share similarities with the medical home concept. But whereas the medical home is built around a physician-patient partnership, disease management programs typically are run by health plans or specialized vendors.

### **Drivers of Reform**

Health care delivery reform cannot happen unless mechanisms are in place to drive change in the systems of care. Key drivers include performance measurement and the public dissemination of performance information, comparative effectiveness research, adoption of health information technology, and, perhaps most importantly, alignment of payment incentives with high-quality care. Most health policy experts concede that improvements in the quality of health care will not be fully realized unless providers have financial incentives to change the way they deliver health care services. Under fee-for-service, the predominant method of payment, physicians are paid based on the volume of billable services, rather than the value or quality of care they provide. Increasingly, public and private payers are linking a portion of provider payments to their performance on a set of quality measures. Policymakers are interested in expanding these pay-for-performance initiatives to incentivize other changes to the health care delivery system.

The use of performance measures to track the quality of care is growing in both the private and public health sectors, though concerns about the development and use of such data remain. The public reporting of quality information is seen as a necessary step in helping patients make informed choices about health care services and the organizations that provide them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> David C. Goodman and Elliott S. Fisher, "Physician Workforce Crisis? Wrong Diagnosis, Wrong Prescription," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 358, no. 16 (April 17, 2008), pp. 1658-1661.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Joshua T. Cohen et al., "Does Preventive Care Save Money? Health Economics and the Presidential Candidates," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 358, no. 7 (February 14, 2008), pp. 661-663.

# American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

Congress took an important first step toward reforming the health care delivery system when it enacted the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA; P.L. 111-5) in February 2009. ARRA included \$17 billion in supplemental funding for biomedical research, public health, and other health-related programs within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), including \$1.1 billion for comparative effectiveness research. It also established an interagency advisory panel to help coordinate and support the research. In addition, ARRA incorporated the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act, which is intended to promote the widespread adoption of health information technology (HIT) for the electronic sharing of clinical data among hospitals, physicians, and other health care stakeholders. Included in the ARRA health funding was \$2 billion to fund HIT grant programs authorized by the HITECH Act.<sup>5</sup>

HIT, which generally refers to the use of computer applications in medical practice, is widely viewed as a necessary and vital component of health care reform. It encompasses interoperable electronic health records (EHRs)—including computerized systems to order tests and medications, and support systems to aid clinical decision making—and the development of a national health information network to permit the secure exchange of electronic health information among providers. The promise of HIT comes not from automating existing practices, but rather its use as a tool to help overhaul the delivery of care. HIT has the potential to enable providers to render care more efficiently; for example, by eliminating the use of paper-based records and reducing the duplication of diagnostic tests. It can also improve the quality of care by identifying harmful drug interactions and helping physicians manage patients with multiple conditions. Moreover, the widespread use of HIT could provide large amounts of clinical data for comparative effectiveness research, performance measurement, and other activities aimed at improving health care quality.

# Overview of Report

On July 14, 2009, Representative Dingell (D-MI) introduced a comprehensive health reform bill, the America's Affordable Health Choices Act of 2009 (H.R. 3200). The legislation was jointly developed by the House Committees on Ways and Means, Energy and Commerce, and Education and Labor, which share jurisdiction over the federal health statutes. All three committees have held markups—in each case focusing on the titles in the bill that fall under the committee's jurisdiction—and ordered the legislation to be reported, as amended. Each committee considered an amendment in the nature of substitute to H.R. 3200, offered by the chairman (the "Chairman's mark").

H.R. 3200 consists of three divisions. Division A addresses private health insurance, including the establishment of an insurance exchange and the creation of a public option. Division B primarily

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For more information, see CRS Report R40181, Selected Health Funding in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, coordinated by C. Stephen Redhead, and CRS Report R40161, The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act, by C. Stephen Redhead.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Committee on Ways and Means approved H.R. 3200, as amended, on July 17, 2009; the Chairman's mark is available on the committee's website at http://waysandmeans.house.gov/media/pdf/111/catext3200.pdf. The Committee on Education and Labor also approved H.R. 3200, as amended, on July 17, 2009; the Chairman's mark is available on the committee's website at http://edlabor.house.gov/documents/111/pdf/markup/FC/HR3200-AmericasAffordableHealthChoicesActof2009/MILLCA\_158.pdf. The Committee on Energy and Committee approved its version of H.R. 3200, as amended, on July 31, 2009; the Chairman's mark is available on the committee's website at http://energycommerce.house.gov/Press\_111/20090715/health\_amendment.pdf.

deals with changes to the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Finally, Division C, entitled "Public Health and Workforce Development," includes a series of provisions intended to increase the primary care and public health workforce, promote preventive services, and strengthen quality measurement, among other things.

This report summarizes the workforce, prevention, quality, and other provisions in Division C, as amended and ordered to be reported by the Energy and Commerce Committee. Additional related provisions from the versions of the legislation that were ordered to be reported by the other two committees are included, as noted. The report groups the bill's provisions under the following headings: (1) Public Health Investment Fund; (2) health centers; (3) health workforce, including programs authorized under the Public Health Service Act (PHSA) and under other statutes; (4) health care quality; (5) health disparities; (6) prevention and wellness; (7) Food and Drug Administration; (8) emergency care; (9) behavioral health care; (10) pain care and management; and (11) miscellaneous. In most instances, each section begins with some background on current law and practice so as to provide context for the subsequent brief descriptions of the bill's provisions. Unless otherwise stated, references to "the Secretary" refer to the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS). A list of all the acronyms used in the report is in the **Appendix**.

The following CRS products discuss the insurance, Medicare, and Medicaid provisions in H.R. 3200:

- CRS Report R40724, *Private Health Insurance Provisions of H.R. 3200*, by Hinda Chaikind et al.
- CRS Report R40804, *Medicare Program Changes in H.R. 3200, America's Affordable Health Choices Act of 2009*, coordinated by Sibyl Tilson.
- CRS Report R40821, Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Provisions in America's Affordable Health Choices Act of 2009 (H.R. 3200), by Evelyne P. Baumrucker, Cliff Binder, and Elicia J. Herz.
- CRS Report R40601, Factors Affecting the Demand for Long-Term Care Insurance: Issues for Congress, by Janemarie Mulvey. This report includes a brief discussion of an H.R. 3200 provision that would establish a national insurance program for purchasing community living assistance services and supports (CLASS).

# **Public Health Investment Fund**

The House legislation would amend numerous PHSA programs whose appropriations authority has expired, though many of the programs continue to receive an annual appropriation. The legislation includes new authorizations for appropriations to fund most of those programs, typically through FY2014. The House legislation also would create a multi-billion dollar Public Health Investment Fund to provide additional funds for the programs. As described below, the legislation includes several provisions authorizing the appropriation of amounts from the Fund for specified PHSA programs. These amounts would be in addition to any amounts provided through regular appropriations. To ensure that the Fund is used to supplement and not supplant regular appropriations, the authority to appropriate amounts from the Fund would be contingent on maintaining a certain level of regular appropriations for the programs.

### Sec. 2002. Public Health Investment Fund

This section would establish a Public Health Investment Fund, into which the following amounts would be deposited from general revenues of the Treasury: \$4.6 billion for FY2010, \$5.6 billion for FY2011, \$6.9 billion for FY2012, \$7.8 billion for FY2013, and \$9.0 billion for FY2014.

Amounts in the Fund would be authorized to be appropriated for carrying out various designated provisions in Division C, as described below, and would be in addition to any other amounts authorized to be appropriated for such purposes. Amounts in the Fund could be authorized to be appropriated only if the following two conditions were met, regarding baseline funding: (1) appropriations for a given fiscal year are no less than the amounts appropriated in FY2008 for (i) the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, (ii) the National Center for Health Statistics, (iii) the National Health Service Corps, including the scholarship and loan repayment programs, (iv) community health centers, and (v) and various designated workforce programs under PHSA Titles VII and VIII; and (2) the amount appropriated to the Prevention and Wellness Trust, as described below, for a given fiscal year is no less the amount appropriated to the Prevention and Wellness Fund under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and allocated for prevention and wellness (i.e., \$650 million). Any amounts appropriated under this section, and associated outlays, would not count toward the appropriations committee allocations under the budget resolution.

### **Health Centers**

# **Background and Issues**

PHSA Sec. 330 authorizes the health center program, which provides grants to community health centers, migrant health centers, health centers for the homeless, and health centers for residents of public housing. Health centers are a key component of the nation's health care safety net and provide primary care and preventive services to the uninsured and underinsured. These centers are required to accept all patients regardless of ability to pay and must offer sliding scale fee arrangements for patients. Health centers are located in areas that are medically underserved and target populations with insufficient health care access. PHSA Sec. 224 provides health centers that receive Sec. 330 funding with liability protection from medical malpractice claims under the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA). FTCA coverage for health centers also applies to its employees, board members, and certain contactors. However, it does not extend to health care providers who volunteer their services at health centers. GAO found that the lack of medical malpractice coverage is a barrier to such volunteerism, though not the only one. Other barriers to provider volunteerism include lack of time to volunteer, licensure costs, misperceptions about litigiousness, and the limited capacity of health centers to recruit, retain, and effectively use volunteers.

The health center program, which enjoys broad bipartisan support, has been expanded in recent years. In 2002, there were approximately 3,500 health center sites; in 2009, there are an estimated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (P.L. 111-5) provided \$1 billion for a Prevention and Wellness Fund, administered by the Secretary. Of that total, \$650 million is for evidence-based clinical and community-based prevention and wellness programs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> U.S. Government Accountability Office, Federal Torts Claims Act: Information Related to Implications of Extending Coverage to Volunteers at HRSA-Funded Health Centers, 09-693R, June 24, 2009.

9.000 sites. The program was reauthorized by the Health Care Safety Net Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-335). 10 The Act also included the requirement that GAO study the economic costs and benefits of school-based health clinics (SBHCs) and their impact on student health. SBHCs are not are not explicitly authorized in the PHSA, but have been established pursuant to the general authority to establish community health centers. Studies show that health centers increase access to primary health care services, which helps reduce disparities and reduce costs by averting more expensive emergency room visits.

### Sec. 2101. Increased Funding for Community Health Centers

This section would amend PHSA Sec. 330 by authorizing to be appropriated for the health center program such sums as may be necessary (SSAN) for each of FY2013 and FY2014. The section also would authorize to be appropriated from the Public Health Investment Fund, in addition to any other amounts authorized to be appropriated for the program, the following amounts: \$1 billion for FY2010, \$1.5 billion for FY2011, \$2.5 billion for FY2012, \$3 billion for FY2013, and \$4 billion for FY2014.

### Sec. 2583. Liability Protection For Health Center Volunteers

This section would amend PHSA Sec. 224 by extending the FTCA liability protections against medical malpractice to volunteer practitioners at Sec. 330-funded health centers.

### Sec. 2511. School-Based Health Clinics

This section would create a new PHSA Sec. 399Z-1 requiring the Secretary to establish an SBHC grant program. To receive a grant, an SBHC would have to meet certain specified criteria, match 20% of the grant amount from nonfederal sources, agree to use grant funds to supplement and not supplant funds received from other sources, and demonstrate that grant funds will not be used until funds from all payers, including private insurance, Medicaid, and CHIP, are used. Additionally, SBHCs would not be permitted to use funds to provide abortions. The Secretary would be required to give priority to qualified applicants based on their record of providing care to medically underserved children and adolescents, and of providing care in communities where a high percentage of children and adolescents are uninsured, underinsured, or eligible for Medicaid or CHIP. The section would authorize the appropriation of \$50 million for FY2010, and SSAN for FY2011 through FY2014.

### Sec. 2512. Nurse-Managed Health Centers

This section would add a new PHSA Part S, Sec. 399GG, requiring the Secretary, acting through the HRSA Administrator, to establish a program to award grants to entities to plan and develop nurse-managed health centers (NMHCs) or to operate NMHCs. To receive a grant, eligible entities would have to match 20% of the grant amount from nonfederal sources, agree to use grant funds to supplement and not supplant federal and nonfederal funds received from other sources, maintain expenditures of nonfederal amounts at levels not less than those expended in the fiscal year prior to the entity's receipt of the grant, and demonstrate that grant funds will not be used until funds from all payers, including private insurance, Medicaid, and CHIP, are used.

The Secretary would be authorized to award NMHC planning grants only if the entity agreed to assess the needs of the medically underserved population that the NMHC proposed to serve and

<sup>10</sup> The health centers program is administered by HRSA. For more information, go to http://bphc.hrsa.gov.

Congressional Research Service

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> An individual health center may operate multiple sites.

then design the services and operation of the NMHC based on the assessment. Further, the Secretary would be authorized to award NMHC operating grants only if the entity assured that it would provide primary care and other health care services deemed appropriate by the Secretary; care to all patients regardless of insurance status or ability to pay; and services by advanced practices nurses, other types of nurses and other specified providers.

# Health Workforce

### **Background and Issues**

Existing health professions education and training programs authorized under PHSA Title VII provide funding to medical schools and other facilities to promote community-based and rural practice, primary care, and opportunities for minorities and disadvantaged students. In the early 1970s, annual funding for Title VII programs reached over \$2.5 billion (in 2009 dollars); in recent years, it has been about \$200 million. PHSA Title VIII authorizes a comparable set of programs to promote nursing education and training. Appropriations authority for most Title VII and VIII programs has expired, though many of them continue to receive funding. The National Health Service Corps (NHSC) program, authorized under PHSA Title III, provides scholarships and student loan repayments for medical students, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, and others who agree to a period of service as a primary care provider in a federally designated Health Professional Shortage Area (HPSA). NHSC clinicians may fulfill their service commitments in health centers, rural health clinics, public or nonprofit medical facilities, or within other community-based systems of care. However, there is far more demand for NHSC clinicians and there are many more clinicians interested in scholarships or loan repayment opportunities than can be met under the program's budget. Currently, HHS estimates that the NHSC is filling only 8% of the total need for primary care practitioners in HPSAs. 11

Medicare subsidizes medical residency programs through its Graduate Medical Education (GME) program, which makes two types of payments to teaching hospitals. First, direct GME payments help cover the costs of the residency training program, including resident salaries and benefits, supervisory physician salaries, and administrative overhead expenses. Direct GME payments are calculated based on the product of three factors: a hospital-specific per resident amount, a weighted count of full-time equivalent (FTE) residents supported by the hospital, and the hospital's Medicare patient share. Second, indirect medical education (IME) payments, which vary with the intensity of a hospital's residency program, are intended to compensate hospitals for the higher costs of patient care in teaching hospitals. Those costs are the result of such factors as having sicker patients and the fact that inexperienced residents may order more tests. The IME adjustment is a percentage add-on to a hospital's Medicare payments for inpatient care and is based, in part, on the hospital's resident-to-bed ratio. IME payments only cover the residents' time spent in patient care. In 2008, the GME program provided direct and indirect payments totaling an estimated \$9 billion to more than 1,100 teaching hospitals to educate and train about 90,000 residents, approximately \$100,000 per resident. Health policy analysts view the GME program as a potentially important instrument for shaping future health workforce policy; for example, by linking the subsidies to delivery system reform and by structuring them to encourage

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> For more information on the NHSC program, see CRS Report R40533, *Health Care Workforce: National Health Service Corps*, by Bernice Reyes-Akinbileje.

the training of more generalists and to increase the amount of time residents spend in nonhospital settings such as community health centers and rural health clinics. <sup>12</sup>

# **National Health Service Corps**

### Sec. 2201. National Health Service Corps

This section would amend **PHSA Sec. 331**, allowing the Secretary to waive certain requirements of NHSC service so that the service obligation could be fulfilled on a half-time basis (i.e., a minimum of 20 hours per week in clinical practice). Individuals fulfilling their service obligation in this manner would have to agree to double the period of obligated service that would otherwise be required, or, if receiving loan repayment, accept 50% of the amount that would otherwise be provided. It also would amend **PHSA Sec. 337**, repealing the prohibition on reappointment of members to the NHSC National Advisory Council. It would amend **PHSA Sec. 338B**, increasing the maximum annual NHSC loan repayment amount from \$35,000 to \$50,000, adjusted annually for inflation beginning in FY2012. Finally, the section would amend **PHSA Sec. 338C**, permitting teaching to be counted for up to 20% of the NHSC service obligation.

### Sec. 2202. Authorization of Appropriations

This section would amend **PHSA Sec. 338** by (1) authorizing to be appropriated for NHSC program operations SSAN through FY2014; and (2) authorizing to be appropriated from the Public Health Investment Fund, in addition to any other amounts authorized to be appropriated for NHSC program operations, the following amounts: \$63 million for FY2010, \$66 million for FY2011, \$70 million for FY2012, \$73 million for FY2013, and \$77 million for FY2014.

In addition, the section would amend **PHSA Sec. 338H**, by authorizing to be appropriated for the NHSC scholarship and loan repayment programs SSAN for each of FY2013 and FY2014. The section would add a new **PHSA Sec. 338H-1** authorizing to be appropriated from the Public Health Investment Fund, in addition to any other amounts authorized to be appropriated for NHSC scholarships and loan repayments, the following amounts: \$254 million for FY2010, \$266 million for FY2011, \$278 million for FY2012, \$292 million for FY2013, and \$306 million for FY2014.

# **Promotion of Primary Care and Dentistry**

PHSA Title VII, Part A, comprising Secs. 701-735, authorizes student loan programs for health professions students. Sec. 735 establishes general provisions for the administration of the student loan fund. Title VII, Part C, Sec.747, authorizes grants for health professions schools to develop and operate training programs in family medicine, general internal medicine, general pediatrics, physician assistants and general and pediatric dentistry. Funds may also be used to provide financial assistance to medical students, interns, residents, and faculty who are participants in such programs. Title VII, Part D, Sec. 751 authorizes the Area Health Education Centers (AHEC) grant program. Among other activities, AHEC funds may be used to support community-based primary care residency programs. There is no connection between AHEC-supported community-based residency training and the Medicare GME program (described later in this report).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> For a recent review of medical education in the United States and an analysis of the GME program and its potential role in health care delivery reform, see the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission's June 2009 *Report to Congress: Improving Incentives in the Medicare Program*, Chapter 1, at http://www.medpac.gov/chapters/Jun09\_Ch01.pdf.

The House legislation includes the following seven sections that would establish or amend existing programs to increase the supply of primary care providers. The first such provision would create a new loan repayment program, analogous to the NHSC program, for individuals who agree to practice in medically underserved areas whose health care needs are not being met by the NHSC.

### Sec. 2211. Frontline Health Providers

This section would amend the **PHSA Title III**, by adding at the end a new Subpart XI–Health Professional Needs Areas, and creating in that subtitle four new sections as described below.

**PHSA Sec. 340H** would require the Secretary, acting through the HRSA Administrator, to establish the Frontline Health Professional Loan Repayment Program. It would require the Secretary to designate "health professional needs areas" (as defined), establish eligibility requirements for loan repayors, and define "primary health services" as family medicine, internal medicine, pediatrics, obstetrics and gynecology, dentistry, and mental health.

PHSA Sec. 340I would require the Secretary, acting through the HRSA Administrator, to contract with individuals who agree to serve in a health professional needs area as either a full-time primary health services provider, or as a part-time or full-time provider of other health services, for a period of two or more years. The Secretary would be required to pay, for each year of service, an amount on the principal and interest of the educational loan of the individual that is not more than 50% of the average award made under the NHSC Loan Repayment Program for the previous fiscal year. Individuals would be allowed to satisfy the service requirement through employment at specified practice settings. Statutory provisions for the NHSC Loan Repayment Program would apply to the Frontline Health Professional Loan Repayment Program, where appropriate. Finally, the section would require the Secretary to transfer all unobligated funds from this program to the NHSC for the purpose of recruiting participants for the following year.

**PHSA Sec. 340J** would require the Secretary to submit an annual report to Congress on the Frontline Health Professional Loan Repayment Program.

**PHSA Sec. 340K** would require the following allocation of funds obligated for each fiscal year for loan repayments: (1) 90% must be allocated for physicians and other health professionals providing primary health services, and (2) 10% must be allocated for non-physicians and non-primary health professionals.

### Sec. 2212. Primary Care Student Loans

PHSA Sec. 735 establishes general provisions for the administration of the student loan fund for medical students and health professions students. Implementing regulations regarding the eligibility and selection of loan applicants require health professions schools to take into account, regardless of the tax status of the student, the expected contribution from parents, spouse, self, or other family members.

This section would amend **PHSA Sec. 735**, inserting a new subsection that would permit the Secretary to require, or authorize a school or other entity to require, that loan applicants submit information on their financial resources. In determining whether to require the submission of financial information about an applicant's family members, the Secretary would be required to take into account the extent to which the applicant is financially independent. The section would eliminate the existing requirement that a health professions school must take into account the expected financial contribution of parents and other family members.

### Sec. 2213. Primary Care Training and Enhancement

This section would amend **PHSA Sec. 747** to require the Secretary to award grants or enter into contracts for a variety of activities to support training programs in primary care—defined as family medicine, general internal medicine, general pediatrics, or geriatrics—and for capacity building. Entities eligible for the training grants would include accredited public or nonprofit hospitals, schools of medicine or osteopathic medicine, accredited physician assistant training programs, public or private nonprofit entities, or a consortium of two or more of these entities. However, only schools of medicine or osteopathic medicine would be eligible for capacity building grants. The Secretary would be required to give preference to qualified applicants based on an applicant's record of (1) training primary care providers and individuals from minority groups or disadvantaged backgrounds; (2) training individuals who provide care in underserved areas or to populations experiencing health disparities including those eligible for Medicaid and CHIP; and/or (3) supporting teaching programs targeting vulnerable populations.

### Sec. 2214. Training of Medical Residents in Community-Based Settings

This section would redesignate current PHSA Sec. 748–Advisory Committee on Training in Primary Care Medicine and Dentistry as Sec. 749A, and create a new PHSA Sec. 748, requiring the Secretary to award grants or contracts for planning and/or operating primary care residency training programs in community-based settings. Eligible entities would be (1) Medicare GME-eligible non-hospital providers; (2) teaching health centers as defined in Sec.1502(d) of this bill; or (3) an applicant for the designation described in (1) or (2) that meets certain specified criteria. The Secretary would be required to give preference to qualified applicants that are an FQHC or a rural health clinic, and to programs that would address the health care needs of vulnerable populations, or that have a demonstrated record of training individuals from disadvantaged backgrounds or who practice in underserved areas or in areas experiencing health disparities.

### Sec. 2215. Training in Dentistry

This section would amend PHSA Title VII, Part C by adding at the end a new **PHSA Sec. 749**, described below. It also would add the newly created section to the list of programs—currently Secs. 747 and 750—that are subject to the granting preference and other requirements under Sec. 791. That section specifies that the Secretary is required give preference to programs that have a high or recently improved rate of placing graduates in settings that provide care to medically underserved communities.

The new PHSA Sec. 749 would require the Secretary to award grants or contracts for a variety of activities to support and develop dental training programs for general, pediatric, and public health dentists, and dental hygienists. Eligible entities would include accredited schools of dentistry, training programs in dental hygiene, public or nonprofit private hospitals, or consortia of these entities and a school of public health. The Secretary would have to give preference to entities meeting similar criteria as for the grant program established in Sec. 2213 of this bill.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Among other things, Sec. 1502(d) would amend the SSA to allow for direct and indirect GME payments to qualified teaching health centers, such as federally qualified health centers and rural health centers that develop and operate an accredited primary care residency program for which GME funding would be available if such program were operated by a hospital. It would also establish a demonstration project to facilitate affiliations between teaching health centers and teaching hospitals to encourage residency training in community-based settings. See the discussion of the bill's GME provisions and Sec. 1502.

### Sec. 2216. Authorization of Appropriations

This section would add a new **PHSA Sec. 799C**, authorizing to be appropriated from the Public Health Investment Fund, in addition to any other amounts authorized to be appropriated for such purposes, the following amounts to carry out PHSA Title III, Part D, Subpart XI (as established in Sec. 2211 of this bill, regarding frontline health providers) and PHSA Secs. 747, 748, and 749, as added or amended by this bill: \$240 million for FY2010, \$253 million for FY2011, \$265 million for FY2012, \$278 million for FY2013, and \$292 million for FY2014. The section also would reauthorize PHSA Sec. 747 through FY2014.

### Sec. 2217. Study on Effectiveness of Scholarships and Loan Repayments

This section would require GAO, within 18 months of enactment, to conduct a study on the effectiveness of the NHSC and Frontline Health Provider programs, as amended by this bill. The study must include an evaluation of whether scholarships or loan repayments are more effective in (1) incentivizing physicians and other providers to pursue careers in primary care; (2) retaining primary care providers; and (3) encouraging them to practice in underserved areas.

# **Nursing Workforce**

PHSA Title VIII, comprising Secs. 801-855, authorizes several programs to support nursing workforce development. These programs include funding for grant and scholarship programs for graduate and undergraduate nursing education in specified areas of nursing, including cultural competency, workforce diversity, nurse faculty members, advanced education nurses, and geriatric nursing. The House legislation would modify and reauthorize several of these existing programs, and delete the cultural and linguistic competency grant program. In addition, it would authorize two new programs: a nursing training and retention program administered by the Department of Labor, and a program administered by the Department of Education to help reduce the student-to-nurse ratio in schools.

### Sec. 2221. Amendments to the Public Health Service Act

This section would amend **PHSA Sec. 801** to include "nurse-managed health centers" as eligible entities for purposes of Title VIII's nursing workforce development programs, and would insert a definition for the term into the section. It also would delete **PHSA Sec. 807**, a grant program for cultural and linguistic competence training for nurses.

The section would add a new PHSA Sec. 809, requiring the Secretary to submit annual reports to Congress on all of the loan and grant programs in Title VIII that do not already require an annual report (i.e., Secs. 811, 821, 836, 846A, and 861, as redesignated). It would delete the 10% limit on awards to doctoral program traineeships in PHSA Sec. 811. It also would add to the list of eligible entities meriting special consideration those that agree to expend the award to increase diversity among advanced education nurses.

The section would amend **PHSA Sec. 831**, regarding Nurse Education, Practice, and Retention Grants, to restate grant activity priorities from managed care and quality improvement to coordinated care, quality care, and other skills needed to practice nursing. It would delete a subsection regarding preference for these grants.

The section would amend **PHSA Sec. 836** to increase the maximum amount of loan funds a recipient can receive per year from \$2,500 to \$3,300; increase the annual limit for the last two academic years from \$4,000 to \$5,200; and increase the total loan amount that may be provided

to a student from \$13,000 to \$17,000. These limits would be annually adjusted for inflation beginning in FY2012. **PHSA Sec. 846** would be amended to include individuals who agree to serve for not less than two years as a faculty member at an accredited nursing school in the loan repayment program. **PHSA Sec. 846A** would be amended to raise the Nurse Faculty Loan Program limit from \$30,000 to \$35,000. These limits would be annually adjusted for inflation beginning in FY2012.

The section would delete the current **PHSA Title VIII, Part H**, related to federal, state, and local public service announcements to promote careers in nursing.

Finally, the section would amend **PHSA Sec. 871**, as redesignated, authorizing to be appropriated SSAN for each fiscal year through FY2014 for Title VIII Parts B, C, and D (i.e., Advanced Education Nursing Grants; Workforce Diversity Grants; and Nurse Education, Practice, and Retention Grants). Title VIII, Part H, as redesignated, would be amended to add a new **PHSA Sec. 872** authorizing to be appropriated from the Public Health Investment Fund, in addition to any other amounts authorized to be appropriated for such purposes, the following amounts for carrying out Title VIII programs: \$115 million for FY2010, \$122 million for FY2011, \$127 million for FY2012, \$134 million for FY2013, and \$140 million for FY2014. The section also would authorize to be appropriated SSAN through FY2014 for all the remaining programs in Title VIII whose appropriations expired at the end of FY2007.

### Sec. 2521. Grants for Nursing Training and Pipeline Programs

This section would require the Secretary of Labor to establish a new partnership grant program to support nurse education, practice, and retention. The program would provide matching grants to eligible entities for qualified nursing training programs, including nurse "career ladder" programs and nurse faculty development programs. Eligible entities would include partnerships of health care providers and labor unions, trade associations, or groups that represent direct health workers. In making awards, the Secretary of Labor would be required to give preference to programs that improve nurse retention; increase the diversity of the nursing workforce; improve the quality of nursing education; have demonstrated success for transitioning health care workers into nursing; have established pilot programs to increase nurse faculty; or are modeled after or affiliated with established transitioning and pilot programs mentioned above. Any awards made would require a dollar-for-dollar match by the recipient. There would be authorized to be appropriated SSAN to carry out this partnership grant program.

### Sec. 2551. Reducing Student-to-School Nurse Ratios<sup>14</sup>

This section would authorize the Secretary of Education (in consultation with HHS) to establish a demonstration grant program for local education agencies to reduce the student-to-school nurse ratio in public elementary and secondary schools. In making awards, the Secretary of Education would be required to give special consideration to applicants that demonstrate high need, in part by providing information about current student-to-school nurse ratios. Eligible local educational agencies would be defined as those in which the student-to-school nurse ratio in the public elementary and secondary schools they serve is 750 or more students to every school nurse. Highneed local educational agencies would mean those that serve at least 10,000 children from families with incomes below the poverty line, or for which at least 20% of the children are from families with incomes below the poverty line. The Secretary of Education could require non-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> This provision is present only in the bill ordered reported by the Education and Labor Committee.

federal matching contributions from grant recipients. To carry out this section, there would be authorized to be appropriated SSAN for each of FY2010 through FY2014.

### **Public Health Workforce**

PHSA Title VII, Part E, Subpart 2, comprising Secs. 765-770, authorizes the Secretary to conduct programs for public health workforce development by providing grants or contracts to schools, state and local health agencies, and others to operate public health training and re-training programs. Programs include grants for Public Health Training Centers; tuition, fees, and stipends for traineeships in public health and in health administration; and residency programs in preventive medicine and dental public health. Appropriations authority for these programs has expired, though all except the health administration traineeships continue to receive funding.

### Sec. 2231. Public Health Workforce Corps

This section would add three new PHSA sections (340L, 340M, and 340N), requiring the Secretary to establish within the U.S. Public Health Service a Public Health Workforce Corps (PHWC), similar to the National Health Service Corps. The Secretary would be required to use the PHWC to address critical public health workforce shortages and may designate as shortage areas state, local, and tribal health departments, and federally qualified health centers (FQHCs). In exchange for a postgraduate period of service in a designated shortage area, members of the PHWC would be eligible for scholarships while in training, and loan repayment while in service.

### Sec. 2232. Enhancing the Public Health Workforce

This section would replace **PHSA Sec. 765** with new language requiring the Secretary to establish a grant program for certain health professions schools, state, local, or tribal health departments, public or private nonprofit entities, or consortia of these entities, to develop public health training programs and provide assistance to students. The Secretary would be required to award grants preferentially to entities that train: (1) professionals who serve in underserved communities; (2) individuals from minority groups or disadvantaged backgrounds; (3) individuals in public health specialties experiencing shortages of public health professionals (as determined by the Secretary); [and/or] (4) professionals serving in governmental public health.

### Sec. 2233. Public Health Training Centers

This section would amend **PHSA Sec. 766**, which authorizes the Secretary to award grants for Public Health Training Centers. Current law (1) defines these centers as accredited schools of public health, or other public or nonprofit private accredited institutions, that provide graduate or specialized training in public health and (2) authorizes grants for training activities that further the decennial "Healthy People" national health goals developed and published by the Secretary. This section would amend PHSA Sec. 766 to refer, instead of the Healthy People goals, to the National Prevention and Wellness Strategy that would be required under Sec. 2301 of this bill.

### Sec. 2234. Preventive Medicine and Public Health Training Grant Program

This section would replace the current **PHSA Sec. 768**, regarding preventive medicine residency training, with new language requiring the Secretary to award grants or contracts for residency training programs in preventive medicine and public health. Eligible applicants would include schools of public health; state, local, or tribal health departments; schools of medicine or osteopathic medicine; public or private hospitals; or consortia of the above entities.

### Sec. 2235. Authorization of Appropriations

This section would amend new **PHSA Sec. 799C** (as established by Sec. 2216 of this bill) to authorize appropriations through the Public Health Investment Fund for all of the public health workforce provisions summarized above (i.e., new PHSA Secs. 340L, 340M, and 340N, and amended PHSA Secs. 765, 766, and 768). For all of these activities, in addition to any other amounts authorized to be appropriated for such purposes, there would be authorized to be appropriated from the Fund the following amounts: \$51 million for FY2010; \$54 million for FY2011; \$57 million for FY2012; \$59 million for FY2013; and \$62 million for FY2014. In addition, this section would authorize or reauthorize the appropriation of SSAN for the following PHSA sections through FY2014: Sec. 765 (public health workforce training grants), Sec. 766 (Public Health Training Centers), Sec. 767 (public health traineeships), Sec. 768 (preventive medicine and public health training), and Sec. 769 (health administration traineeships and special projects).

# Workforce Diversity, Cultural Competency, and Interdisciplinary Care

PHSA Title VII, Part B, comprising Secs. 736-741, authorizes several programs intended to promote diversity in the health workforce. They include grants to establish Centers of Excellence at health professions schools that recruit and train significant numbers of underrepresented minority students, scholarships and other educational assistance for students from disadvantaged backgrounds, and loan repayments and fellowships for individuals from disadvantaged background who agree to serve as faculty members in health professions schools. Title VIII, Sec. 821 authorizes grants to increase nursing education opportunities for individuals from disadvantaged backgrounds. Title VII, Part D, comprising Secs. 750-758, authorizes several grant programs to support interdisciplinary, community-based health workforce training.

The House legislation includes the following five sections that would amend several of the existing workforce diversity and interdisciplinary, community-based training programs.

### Sec. 2241. Faculty Loan Repayments

This section would amend **PHSA Sec. 738(a)**, increasing the annual limit on the loan repayment amount from \$20,000 to \$35,000. Beginning in FY2012, that amount would be subject to an annual adjustment for inflation.

### Sec. 2242. Nursing Workforce Diversity Grants

This section would amend **PHSA Sec. 821**, eliminating the requirement that the Secretary, in awarding nursing workforce diversity grants, take into account the recommendations of the three Invitational Congresses for Minority Nurse Leaders that were convened in the 1990s.

### Sec. 2243. Coordination of Diversity and Cultural Competency Programs

This section would add a new **PHSA Sec. 739A**, requiring the Secretary to coordinate the health workforce diversity programs under Title VII, Part B and Title VIII (Sec. 821). It also would amend **PHSA Sec. 736**, directing the Secretary to submit an annual report on the Centers of Excellence program.

### Sec. 2251. Cultural and Linguistic Competency Training

This section would amend **PHSA Sec. 741**, by replacing the existing grant program for training health care professionals how to reduce disparities in outcomes and provide culturally competent care with a new cultural and linguistic competency training program. The Secretary would be required to award grants to health professions schools, academic health centers, and other entities to develop, test, and implement such training programs.

### Sec. 2252. Innovations in Interdisciplinary Care Training

This section would add a new **PHSA Sec. 759**, requiring the Secretary to award grants to health professions schools, academic health centers, and other entities to develop, test, and implement training programs that promote the delivery of health care services through interdisciplinary and team-based models (e.g., patient-centered medical homes) and the coordination of care across settings.

### Health Workforce Evaluation and Assessment

PHSA Title VII, Part E, Subpart 1, comprising Secs. 761-763, establishes various projects to support health professions workforce information and analysis, including grants to entities in order to develop analysis of and information on the health workforce, an Advisory Council on Graduate Medical Education, and an evaluation of the number of pediatric rheumatologists.

The House legislation includes the following two sections that would replace existing PHSA provisions with new language establishing an Advisory Committee on Health Workforce Evaluation and Assessment and requiring certain health workforce data collection activities.

### Sec. 2261 and Sec. 2271. Health Workforce Evaluation and Assessment

Sec. 2261 would replace **PHSA Sec. 764** with new language requiring the Secretary to establish a permanent Advisory Committee on Health Workforce Evaluation and Assessment. The Advisory Committee would be required to recommend classifications, standardized methodologies, and procedures to enumerate the health workforce. In addition, the Advisory Committee would have to submit recommendations regarding health workforce supply, diversity, geographic distribution, and retention, and to suggest policies to carry out these recommendations. The Secretary would be required to consult with the Secretary of Education and the Secretary of Labor in carrying out these activities.

Sec. 2271 would replace **PHSA Sec. 761(a) and (b)** with new language requiring the Secretary to collect data on the health workforce, based on the work developed by the Advisory Committee, and data on individuals participating in specified programs authorized by the bill. The Secretary would be authorized to enter into grants or contracts with specified entities and collaborate with federal agencies and other specified organizations for the purpose of carrying out these data collection activities. Pending completion, the Secretary would be authorized to make a judgment about the classifications, methodologies, and procedures developed by the Advisory Committee with respect to their use for data collection under **PHSA Sec. 761(a)**, as amended. Secs. 2261 and 2271 each require the Secretary to submit an annual report to Congress on activities of the Advisory Committee and activities related to data collection, respectively.

# **Authorization of Appropriations**

### Sec. 2281. Authorization of Appropriations

This section would amend new **PHSA Sec. 799C** (as established by Sec. 2216 of this bill) to authorize to be appropriated from the Public Health Investment Fund the following amounts for activities in specified PHSA sections, in addition to any other amounts authorized to be appropriated for such purposes: (1) Health professions training and diversity (**PHSA Secs. 736**, **737**, **738**, **739**, **and 739A**): \$90 million for FY2010; \$97 million for FY2011; \$100 million for FY2012; \$104 million for FY2013; \$110 million for FY2014; and (2) Interdisciplinary training programs, the Advisory Committee on Health Workforce Evaluation and Assessment, and health workforce assessment (**PHSA Secs. 741**, **759**, **761**, **and 764**): \$87 million for FY2010; \$97 million for FY2011; \$103 million for FY2012; \$105 million for FY2013; \$113 million for FY2014.

The section also would authorize to be appropriated SSAN through FY2014 for the following PHSA sections: Sec. 736 regarding Centers of Excellence, as redesignated; Sec. 737 regarding scholarships for disadvantaged students; Sec. 738 regarding faculty loan repayments and fellowships; Sec. 739 regarding educational assistance for individuals from disadvantaged backgrounds; and Sec. 761, as redesignated, regarding health professions workforce information and analysis. It also would amend Sec. 741(h), as redesignated, regarding grants for health professions education to add new language that would authorize to be appropriated SSAN through FY2014.

### **Medicare Graduate Medical Education**

With certain exceptions, the GME program caps the number of residents used to calculate GME payments for individual teaching hospitals. The program also permits the redistribution of up to 75% of a teaching hospital's unused resident position to hospitals seeking to increase their medical residency programs, according to specific priorities. However, it does not set targets for the type or mix of resident physicians that a hospital trains, nor are Medicare GME payments linked to promoting or fostering specific goals in medical education. The GME program allows teaching hospitals to receive GME payments for the time residents rotate in nonhospital settings provided (1) they are performing patient care, and (2) the hospital pays all or substantially all of the costs of the training at the nonhospital site, including costs associated with a supervising physician. But additional regulatory requirements discourage such rotations. Moreover, hospitals have a financial incentive to retain the often lower-cost clinical labor that residents provide. While experts see value in having residents gain experience in nonhospital settings such as community health centers and nursing facilities, residency programs today are largely based in inpatient, acute-care teaching hospitals. The House legislation includes the following five sections, which collectively would make a number of changes to the GME program to address these and related issues.

### Sec. 1501. Distribution of Unused Residency Positions

This section would, among other things, establish criteria to be used to determine residency limits for hospitals with unused residency positions and direct the Secretary to redistribute unused residency positions and assign those slots to other qualifying facilities for training primary care physicians. A facility that qualifies for an increase in residency positions would be required to maintain its base level of primary care residents and would be required to use additional slots for the training of primary care residents. Preference would be given to (1) hospitals that have

demonstrated that they will fill the additional positions in a timely manner; (2) hospitals that had a reduction in residency training slots under this section; (3) hospitals that have a three-year primary care training program; (4) hospitals that have a formal arrangement to train residents in health centers, other nonhospital settings, and other specified settings; and (5) hospitals located in states with a low resident-to-population ratio, among other criteria.

The section also would establish the criteria used to calculate DGME and IME payments for hospitals that receive redistributed residency positions.

### Sec. 1502. Increasing Training in Non-Provider Settings

This section would modify the current requirements for residents who train in nonhospital settings. All time spent by a resident in such a setting would count toward the direct GME payment, provided the hospital incurred the costs of the resident's salary and benefits. Similarly, all the time spent by a resident in patient care activities in a nonhospital setting would count toward the IME payment, if the hospital incurred those same costs. The section would further require the HHS Inspector General to assess the extent to which there is an increase in time spent by medical residents training in nonhospital settings.

The section also would establish a demonstration project, under which a teaching health center—such as a FQHC or a rural health center that develops and operates an accredited primary care residency program for which funding would be available if it were operated by a hospital—would contract with a teaching hospital to provide primary care residency training. The center would be responsible for payment of the hospital's costs of the residents' salary and benefits, and would be eligible for direct GME payments to cover those costs. Residents training at the center would not be counted as the contracting hospital's residents and thus would not count toward that hospital's GME program cap. In addition, the contracting hospital would not be permitted to reduce its number of primary care residents.

### Sec. 1503. Rules for Counting Resident Time for Non-Patient Care Activities

This section would, among other things, allow hospitals to count resident time spent in certain non-patient care activities, including attending conferences and seminars, when calculating IME payments in the hospital setting and direct GME payments in nonhospital settings.

### Sec. 1504. Preservation of Resident Cap Positions from Closed Hospitals

This section would direct the Secretary, by rulemaking, to establish a process to redistribute medical residency slots from recently closed hospitals. Such residency slots would be distributed to other hospitals in the same state in a manner specified by the Secretary taking into account recommendations by the senior health official in the state.

# Sec. 1505. Improving Accountability for Approved Medical Residency Training

This section would establish certain goals for medical residency training programs. Specifically, programs would have to train residents to (1) work in non-acute traditional settings; (2) coordinate patient care within and across settings; (3) understand the relevant cost and value of various diagnostic and treatment options; (4) work in multi-disciplinary teams; (5) identify systematic errors in health care delivery and implement solutions for such errors; and (6) be meaningful users of electronic health records.

GAO would be required to evaluate and, within 18 months of enactment, report on the extent to which medical residency training programs are meeting the above workforce goals in a range of residency programs, including primary care and specialties, and have the appropriate faculty expertise to teach the topics required to achieve those goals. The study would include recommendations on the development of curriculum requirements and an assessment of the accreditation processes of the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education and the American Osteopathic Association.

# **Other Workforce Provisions**

Four workforce-related provisions were added as an amendment to the legislation that was ordered to be reported by the Education and Labor Committee. The first provision, addressing long-term care and family caregiving, would amend the Older Americans Act (OAA). The remaining three provisions would amend the Workforce Investment Act, administered by the Department of Labor.

# **Long-Term Care and Family Caregivers**

OAA Title II, Sec. 202 authorizes various functions of the Administration on Aging and the Assistant Secretary on Aging. OAA Title III, Part E, the National Family Caregiver Support Program (NFCSP), provides direct support to informal caregivers primarily caring for the elderly through information and referral assistance, respite care, and training and support. Sec. 303(e) authorizes funding to be appropriated to the NFSCP for FY2007 through FY2011. Sec. 6021(d) of the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA) required the Secretary to establish a National Clearinghouse for Long-Term Care Information to educate consumers with respect to the availability and limitations of coverage for long-term care under various public programs and provide objective information about private long-term care insurance and other private-pay options.

### Long-Term Care and Family Caregiver Support

This section would amend **OAA Sec. 202(b)(1)**, regarding functions of the Assistant Secretary, to require the Assistant Secretary to make recommendations to other federal entities regarding appropriate and effective means of identifying and implementing investments in the direct care workforce and assisting states in developing state workforce development plans with respect to such workforce. OAA Sec. 202 would be amended to include a new subsection (g)(1) requiring the Assistant Secretary to establish a Personal Care Attendant Workforce Advisory Panel and pilot program to improve working conditions and training for long-term care workers. OAA Sec. 303(e)(2) would be amended to increase amounts authorized to be appropriated for the NFCSP to \$250 million for each of FY2010 through FY2012. The section also would authorize an additional appropriation of \$10 million for each of FY2010 through FY2012 for the operation of the National Clearinghouse for Long-Term Care Information.

### Workforce Investment Act

The Workforce Investment Act (WIA) provides, in general, job training and related services to unemployed and underemployed individuals. WIA programs are administered by the Department of Labor (DOL), primarily through DOL's Employment and Training Administration (ETA). WIA Title I, Sec. 171 establishes pilot and demonstration programs, the purpose of which are to

develop and evaluate innovative approaches to providing employment and training services. <sup>15</sup> PHSA Title VII, Sec. 761 provides for the development of information describing the health professions workforce and the analysis of workforce related issues, and necessary information for decision-making regarding future directions in health professions and nursing programs in response to societal and professional needs. The Secretary is authorized to make grants or enter into contracts with certain entities to provide for (1) targeted information collection and analysis activities; (2) research on high priority workforce questions; (3) the development of a non-federal analytic and research infrastructure; and (4) the conduct of program evaluation and assessment. Federal leadership for health workforce analysis is carried out in programs administered in the HRSA's National Center for Health Workforce Analysis (NCHWA).

The House legislation includes the following sections (no section numbers specified as yet) that would either amend WIA to establish new grant programs for the purposes of carrying out specified workforce training programs or establish a website to disseminate information related to health care educational and training opportunities.

### **Health Professions Training for Diversity**

This section would amend **WIA Sec. 171** to add a new subsection requiring the Secretary of Labor to make available 20 grants of no more than \$1 million annually to nonprofit organizations for the purposes of providing workforce development training programs for those who are currently employed in the health care workforce. For the purpose of providing assistance and services under the program, grants would be awarded to AHECs or similar nonprofit organizations involved in the development and implementation of health care workforce development programs that meet specified criteria.

### Web-Site on Health Care Labor Market

This section would require the Secretary of Labor, in consultation with the National Center for Health Workforce Analysis, to establish and maintain a website to serve as a comprehensive source of information on the health care labor market and related educational and training opportunities.

### **Online Health Workforce Training Programs**

This section would amend **WIA Sec. 171** to add a new subsection requiring the Secretary of Labor to award National Health Workforce Training Grants to eligible entities who would carry out training for individuals to attain or advance in health care occupations. The section also would require the Secretary of Labor to award one grant to an eligible postsecondary educational institution to provide technical assistance and collect and disseminate data to entities that receive National Health Workforce Training Grants, as well as disseminate best practices identified by grantees. To carry out the National Health Workforce Training Grants, the section would authorize to be appropriated \$50 million for FY2011 through FY2020. To carry out the Online Health Professions Training Program Clearinghouse, it would authorize to be appropriated \$1 million for FY2011 through FY2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> For further information, see CRS Report RL33687, *The Workforce Investment Act (WIA): Program-by-Program Overview and Funding of Title I Training Programs*, by David H. Bradley.

# Quality

### **Background and Issues**

Numerous stakeholders, including policymakers, have engaged in a wide range of efforts to try to address the issue of health care quality. These efforts have generally focused on improving and refining metrics for measuring the quality of care delivered in a number of settings; publicly reporting comparative information on quality performance; and, in some cases, using metrics as the basis for payment policies to demand provider accountability (value-based purchasing). However, these efforts have not generally been guided by a single strategy, entity, or set of priorities or goals, nor have they benefitted from a coordinated infrastructure specifically devoted to improving health care quality.

# **Quality Measurements**

There are no provisions in current law that require the development of national priorities for performance improvement (directed either at the Secretary or AHRQ). However, the Secretary is required by law to have in effect a contract with a consensus-based entity to perform a number of duties, including to synthesize evidence and convene stakeholders to make recommendations on an integrated national strategy and priorities for health care performance measurement in all applicable settings.

AHRQ has significant existing statutory authorities under PHSA Title IX with respect to the development of quality measures. This includes promoting health care quality improvement by conducting and supporting research that develops and presents scientific evidence regarding all aspects of health care, including methods for measuring quality and strategies for improving quality. In addition, AHRQ's role includes the ongoing development, testing, and dissemination of quality measures, including measures of health and functional outcomes, and the compilation and dissemination of health care quality measures developed in the private and public sector.

Current law does not set forth a process for, or require, multi-stakeholder input into the selection of quality measures by the Secretary for use in CMS's quality programs, such as Medicare's Physician Quality Reporting Initiative (PQRI) or the Reporting Hospital Quality Data for Annual Payment Update (RHQDAPU) program.

The House legislation includes the following sections, which together would require the development of an explicit national effort to prioritize quality improvement activities, develop a comprehensive repertoire of quality measures, formalize the role of multi-stakeholder input into the selection of quality measures for use in health care programs, create a mechanism for the evaluation of the mechanisms for collecting quality data by the Secretary, and harmonize requirements across settings for the use of endorsed measures (and instances in which non-endorsed measures may be selected).

### Sec. 1441. Establishment of National Priorities for Quality Improvement

This section would amend **SSA Title XI** by adding a new Part E—Quality Improvement: Establishment of National Priorities for Performance Improvement. It would require the Secretary to establish national priorities for performance improvement and to solicit and consider recommendations from multiple outside stakeholders when establishing and updating these national priorities. For the purposes of carrying out this section, the Secretary would be required to provide for the transfer, from the Medicare Part A and Part B trust funds, of \$2 million for each

of FY2010 through FY2014. The section also would authorize the appropriation of \$2 million, for each of FY2010 through FY2014, from any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated.

### Sec. 1442. Development of New Quality Measures

This provision would add two new sections to SSA Title XI, Part E (as established by Sec. 1441 of this bill). **SSA Sec. 1192** would require the Secretary to enter into agreements with qualified entities to develop quality measures for the delivery of health care services in the United States. The Secretary would be required, as specified, to determine areas in which quality measures for assessing health care services in the United States are needed. The section would require the Secretary to make proposed quality measures available to the public and it would authorize the Secretary to fund the testing of proposed quality measures by qualified entities and the updating, by consensus-based entities, of quality measures that have been previously endorsed by such an entity as new evidence is developed. For purposes of carrying out this section, the Secretary would be required to provide for the transfer, from the Medicare Part A and Part B trust funds, of \$25 million for each of FY2010 through FY2014. In addition, the section would authorize the appropriation of \$25 million, for each of the FY2010 through FY2014, from any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated.

**SSA Sec. 1193** would require GAO to periodically evaluate the implementation of the data collection processes for quality measures used by the Secretary and to report to Congress and to the Secretary on the findings and conclusions of the results of each such evaluation.

### Sec. 1443. Selection of Quality Measures

This section would amend **SSA Sec. 1808** to establish a process whereby multi-stakeholder groups would formally provide input into the selection of Medicare quality measures. Specifically, it would require the Secretary to publish a list of measures being considered for a subsequent rulemaking, and require the consensus-based entity that has entered into a contract with the Secretary under SSA Sec. 1890 (i.e., National Quality Forum, NQF) to convene multi-stakeholder groups to provide recommendations on the selection of individual or composite quality measures for use in public reporting of performance information or in public health care programs. The consensus-based entity, in convening multi-stakeholder groups, would be required to provide for an open and transparent process for the activities conducted pursuant to such convening. The section would further require the proposed rule to contain a summary of the recommendations made by the multi-stakeholder groups, as well as other comments received regarding the proposed measures, and the extent to which the proposed rule follows such recommendations and the rationale for not following such recommendations.

For purposes of carrying out this section, the Secretary would be required to provide for the transfer, from the Medicare Part A and Part B trust funds, of \$1 million for each of FY2010 through FY2014. In addition, the section would authorize the appropriation of \$1 million for each of FY2010 through FY2014 from any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated.

### Sec. 1444. Application of Quality Measures

Generally, this section would place requirements on the Secretary when selecting quality measures for use in existing quality programs for inpatient, outpatient, physician and renal dialysis services. These requirements relate to the endorsement of quality measures and specifically amend relevant sections of the SSA to (1) require the Secretary to select endorsed quality measures for the purposes of reporting quality data; (2) authorize the Secretary to select a non-endorsed measure, if feasible and practical measures were not available, providing the

Secretary gives due consideration to endorsed or adopted measures; and (3) require the Secretary to submit non-endorsed measures to NQF for consideration for endorsement, and if NQF were not to endorse the measure, and the Secretary were to continue to use the measure, the Secretary would be required to include the rationale for its continued use in rulemaking.

The section would, by amending SSA Sec. 1890(b)(2), require NQF to explain the reasons underlying non-endorsement of a given measure, and to provide suggestions about changes to such measure that might make such a measure potentially endorsable.

### Sec. 1445. Consensus-Based Entity Funding

This section would amend **SSA Sec. 1890(d)** to provide for the transfer from the Medicare Part A and Part B trust funds of \$10 million for FY2009, and \$12 million for each of FY2010 through FY2012 to fund the activities of the consensus-based entity under contract in this section.

### Sec. 1446. Quality Indicators for Care of People with Alzheimer's Disease

This section would require AHRQ to develop a core set of quality indicators for the provision of medical services to people with Alzheimer's disease and dementia. In addition, it would require AHRQ to develop a plan for measuring the quality of care provided for people with these conditions.

### Sec. 1447. Study on Five Star Quality Rating System

This section would require GAO to conduct a study on the Five-Star Quality Rating System established by CMS. The study would determine if the composite rating should be eliminated in favor of a system based on individual ratings, and if an appeals process should be implemented, and evaluate how to represent consumer satisfaction under the rating system. The study also would evaluate the appropriateness of weighting methodologies used to adjust quality measures and of the case-mix adjustment methodology used to evaluate staffing levels. Finally, the study would evaluate the impact of the rating system on Medicare skilled nursing facilities and Medicaid nursing facilities and assess whether the program should be replaced with a pilot program testing potential nursing home quality rating systems.

# **Best Practices and Key Health Indicators**

PHSA Title IX provides AHRQ with broad general authority to conduct and support research on health care quality, including ways in which patients, consumers, purchasers, and practitioners acquire new information about best practices and health benefits, and the determinants and impact of their use of this information. In addition, AHRQ has the authority to provide financial assistance for meeting the costs of planning and establishing new centers for multidisciplinary health services research, demonstration projects, evaluations, training, and policy analysis.

There are a number of current efforts, some required by law, to collect and disseminate health statistics on the U.S. population. Those activities are primarily directed by AHRQ and the CDC National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). AHRQ is required to submit two annual reports to Congress: one on national trends in the quality of health care provided to the American people, and the other on prevailing disparities in health care delivery as they relate to racial and socioeconomic factors in priority populations. NCHS conducts and supports statistical and epidemiological activities for the purpose of improving the effectiveness, efficiency, and quality of health services in the United States. NCHS collects statistics on (1) the extent and nature of illness and disability in the U.S. population; (2) the impact of illness and disability of the

population on the U.S. economy; (3) environmental, social, and other health hazards; (4) determinants of health; (5) health resources; (6) utilization of health care; (7) health care costs and financing; and (8) family formation, growth, and dissolution.

### Sec. 2401. Implementation of Best Practices in the Delivery of Health Care

This section would amend **PHSA Title IX** by adding a new Part D–Implementation of Best Practices in the Delivery of Health Care, which would establish within AHRQ a Center for Quality Improvement. The Center would be required to prioritize areas for the identification, development, evaluation, and implementation of best practices for quality improvement activities in the delivery of health care services. In prioritizing these areas, the Center would have to consider the national priorities for performance improvement established pursuant to SSA Sec. 1191 (as added by this bill) and key health indicators identified by the HHS Assistant Secretary for Health Information (discussed below).

The section would require the Center to provide for the public dissemination of information with respect to best practices and activities, and to submit an annual report to the Congress and the Secretary on the activities conducted under this section. Until such time as initial national priorities have been established, priority in initial quality improvement activities and initiatives would have to be given to the following four areas: health care-associated infections, surgery, emergency room, obstetrics, and child health services.

### Sec. 2402. Assistant Secretary for Health Information

This section would amend **PHSA Title XVII** by adding a new Sec. 1709 to create an HHS Assistant Secretary for Health Information. The Assistant Secretary would have a number of responsibilities, mostly related to the collection, reporting, and publishing of information on key health indicators; ensuring the sharing of relevant health data between federal departments; and the development of standards for collection of health data; among others.

With respect to key health indicators, the Assistant Secretary would be required to identify key health indicators and publish statistics on such indicators not less than annually. The review, release, and dissemination of key health indicators would be subject to the same OMB regulations, rules, processes, and procedures that govern the review, release, and dissemination of Principal Federal Economic Indicators by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

### Sec. 2403. Authorization of Appropriations

This section would authorize to be appropriated, out of any monies in the Public Health Investment Fund, \$300,000,000 for each of FY2010 through FY2014 to carry out PHSA Title IX, Part D and Sec. 1709.

# **Public Reporting of Health Care-Associated Infections**

Current federal law does not, in general, require the reporting of health care-associated infections (HAIs), although such reporting is required in a number of states. Provisions in current federal law attempt to incentivize the reduction of some specific types of health-care acquired catheter-associated infections (which are only one type of HAI) in two ways: through withholding of Medicare reimbursement under certain circumstances, and through incentives for voluntary physician and hospital reporting.

### Sec. 1461. Public Reporting of Health Care-Associated Infection

This section would add a new SSA Sec. 1138A requiring the Secretary to provide, by regulation, that in order to participate in Medicare and Medicaid, hospitals (including critical access hospitals) and ambulatory surgical centers would have to report certain HAIs that develop in the facility. The Secretary would be required to establish procedures regarding the validity of reported data to ensure appropriate comparisons between facilities, and to post information online in a manner that permits comparisons by facility and by patient demographic characteristics. The section also would provide that it should not be construed as preempting or otherwise affecting applicable state reporting laws.

# **Comparative Effectiveness Research**

ARRA provided \$1.1 billion for comparative effectiveness research and created the Federal Coordinating Council for Comparative Effectiveness Research, an interagency advisory group that is required to report to the President and Congress annually.<sup>16</sup>

### Sec. 1401. Center for Comparative Effectiveness Research

This section would add a new **SSA 1181**, establishing a Center for Comparative Effectiveness Research within the AHRQ, as well as an independent Comparative Effectiveness Research Commission, to oversee and evaluate the activities carried out by the Center. The Commission would consist of the AHRQ Director, the CMS Chief Medical Officer, and 15 additional members appointed by the HHS Secretary who would represent broad constituencies of stakeholders, including clinicians, patients, researchers, third-party payers, and consumers of federal and state beneficiary programs. Nothing in the section would be construed to permit the Commission or the Center to mandate coverage, reimbursement or other policies for any public or private payer. In no case could any research conducted, supported, or developed by the Center, the Commission, or the Federal Coordinating Council for Comparative Effectiveness Research be used by the federal government to deny or ration care. In addition, CMS could not use federally funded clinical comparative effectiveness research data to make coverage determinations for medical treatments, services, or items under the Medicare program on the basis of cost.

### Sec. 1802. Comparative Effectiveness Research Trust Fund

This section would amend the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) by adding a new IRC Sec. 9511, establishing the Health Care Comparative Effectiveness Research Trust Fund (CERTF) to fund comparative effectiveness research activities. While activities in the initial years (2010-2012) would be funded entirely from transfers from the Medicare trust funds, the CERTF would eventually receive both public funds (from the Medicare trust funds) as well as monies from the private sector through a fee imposed on health insurance and self-insured plans.

# Medicare and Medicaid Nursing Homes

# Secs. 1411-1432. Improving Transparency, Enforcement, and Staff Training

The House legislation includes a number of provisions that would enhance a range of accountability requirements for Medicare certified skilled nursing (SNF) and Medicaid certified

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> For more information, see CRS Report R40181, *Selected Health Funding in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009*, coordinated by C. Stephen Redhead.

nursing facilities. These provisions would require SNFs and nursing facilities to maintain and make available additional information on ownership and organizational structure, as well as to establish new staff compliance and ethics training programs. The Secretary would be required to enhance the information available on the Medicare Nursing Home Compare website for SNFs and nursing facilities, and to make that information more easily accessible to long-term care consumers. A new standardized complaint form would be developed, and facilities and states would be required to make this form available to all stakeholders and consumers. The new complaint form would be accompanied by whistle-blower protections for SNF and nursing facility staff who reported quality of care problems. Additional civil money penalties would be established that both the Secretary and states could impose on nursing facilities found to have quality of care and other deficiencies that jeopardized residents' safety. In addition, SNFs and nursing facilities would be required to add additional staff training in the areas of dementia and abuse prevention, and the Secretary would be required to evaluate the content of additional staff training for certified nurse aides and supervisors.

# **Health Disparities**

# **Background and Issues**

Federal civil rights policy requires most health care providers to make interpretation services available to patients with limited English proficiency (LEP). HHS regulations promulgated under the Civil Rights Act require recipients of HHS financial assistance to provide meaningful access by LEP persons. Recipients of HHS assistance include hospitals; nursing homes; home health agencies; managed care organizations; universities; state, county, and local health agencies; Medicaid agencies; public and private contractors; vendors; physicians; and other providers. Providers who only receive Medicare Part B payments are not considered recipients of HHS assistance.

Research has demonstrated that Medicare beneficiaries with LEP have a harder time accessing health care than LEP seniors covered by Medicaid. Some authors have argued that this difference may be attributed to the fact that federal civil rights policies require Medicaid health care providers to offer language assistance, while physicians serving only Medicare patients are not subject to the same requirements. Although all providers are bound by the Civil Rights Act, which obligates health care professionals to make interpreters available to LEP patients, studies suggest that a lack of reimbursement for language services and poor enforcement of the Act has sometimes made it difficult for LEP Medicare beneficiaries to access translation services.

# Secs. 1221-1224. Medicare Beneficiaries with Limited English Proficiency

These sections would require the Secretary to conduct a study to examine the extent to which Medicare providers utilize, offer, or make available language services for LEP, and the ways that Medicare should develop payment systems for language services. The study would include an analysis of ways to develop and structure appropriate payment systems for language services for Medicare providers; the feasibility of adopting a payment methodology for on-site interpreters; the feasibility of Medicare contracting directly with agencies that provide off-site interpretation, including telephonic and video interpretation; the feasibility of modifying the existing Medicare resource-based relative value scale (RBRVS) by using adjustments for LEP patients; and how each of these options would be funded. The potential payment systems included in the analysis could allow variations based on types of service providers, available delivery methods, and costs for providing language services. The bill also would authorize the Secretary to apply sanctions,

such as civil money penalties, suspension of enrollment, and suspension of payments, to Medicare Advantage organizations that fail to provide required language services to LEP beneficiaries enrolled in their plans.

Within six months of the completion of the study, the Secretary would be required to carry out a demonstration program under which the Secretary would award no fewer than 24 three-year grants to eligible Medicare providers to improve effective communication between providers and Medicare beneficiaries living in communities where racial and ethnic minorities, including populations that face language barriers, are underserved with respect to such services. Grantees would be required to provide the Secretary with annual reports, which would include (1) the number of Medicare beneficiaries to whom language services are provided, (2) the languages of those Medicare beneficiaries, (3) the types of language services provided, (4) the type of interpretation, (5) the methods of providing language services, (6) the length of time for each interpretation encounter, and (7) the costs of providing language services.

The Secretary would be required to conduct an evaluation of the demonstration program and submit a report to Congress not later than one year after the completion of the program. An amount of \$16 million would be authorized to be appropriated for each fiscal year of the demonstration program.

The Secretary would be required to contract with the Institute of Medicine (IOM) for a report on the impact of language access services on the health and health care of LEP populations. The IOM report would be issued within three years of enactment of the bill.

# **Prevention and Wellness**

# **Background and Issues**

Prevention interventions are of two key types: those provided to individuals in clinical settings (e.g., cancer screenings) and those provided to communities (e.g., ad campaigns about exercise). Employer-provided "wellness" programs often use both types of interventions. Evidence suggests that many clinical and community-based prevention interventions can improve the health of patients and populations. However, contrary to common belief, many clinical preventive services (including cancer screenings) do not yield savings for the payer, but rather yield a net cost. <sup>17</sup> Evidence is less clear, and there is more debate, about (1) whether clinical preventive services may yield savings in a broader context (considering, for example, the value of lost workdays prevented), and (2) what savings, if any, may accrue to the federal government or society as a result of possible expansions of community-based prevention activities.

Beneficiary cost-sharing has been shown to decrease utilization of certain preventive services, in some contexts. Based on an evidence review, the Task Force on Community Preventive Services (which is administered by CDC) recommends reducing beneficiary cost-sharing in order to increase utilization of screening mammography. However, the Task Force found insufficient evidence to make the same recommendation for cervical or colorectal cancer screening.<sup>18</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See, for example, Louise B. Russell, "Preventing Chronic Disease: An Important Investment, But Don't Count On Cost Savings," *Health Affairs*, vol. 28, no. 1 (January/February 2009), pp. 42-45.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Task Force on Community Preventive Services, "Recommendations for Client- and Provider-directed Interventions to Increase Breast, Cervical, and Colorectal Cancer Screening," American Journal of Preventive Medicine, vol. 35, suppl. 1 (2008), pp. S21-25. See also CDC, http://www.thecommunityguide.org/cancer/screening/client-oriented/

Current law addresses prevention in several ways, including through (1) coverage of certain clinical preventive services under Medicare and Medicaid; (2) community-based research, disease prevention, and health promotion programs, which may be funded through federal grants; (3) support of evidence review processes to determine whether specific clinical and community-based prevention interventions are effective; <sup>19</sup> and (4) regulation of certain employer-provided wellness programs, in order to strike a balance between flexibility and compliance with current federal privacy, civil rights, and other laws. <sup>20</sup>

# **Coverage of Clinical Preventive Services**

While federal law does not mandate coverage of preventive services for state and local government and private health insurance plans, Medicare Part B covers a number of clinical preventive services, including a one-time comprehensive examination, certain periodic cancer screenings, and other services. Medicare Part B also covers vaccines against influenza, pneumococcus, and, for individuals at increased risk, hepatitis B. Medicare Part D covers any FDA-licensed vaccine, when prescribed by a recognized provider. Congress has waived cost-sharing for some, but not all, Medicare covered preventive services.

State Medicaid plans must cover a package of preventive services under the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment Services program (EPSDT), for beneficiaries under 21 years of age. Current law does not explicitly require that Medicaid state plans cover preventive services for adults, although coverage may be required if a service meets another applicable requirement, such as a physician's service.

### Sec. 122. Essential Benefits Package Defined

Sec. 100 of the bill would define "qualified health benefits plans" (QHBPs) as plans that provide private health insurance, as well as the public insurance option, that meet new federal requirements regarding consumer protections and other matters, including the requirement to cover an essential benefits package. Section 122(b) would define the required elements of this package, which would include, among other services, preventive services without any cost-sharing. These preventive services would include services recommended (i.e., given a grade of A or B) by the Task Force on Clinical Preventive Services (established by this bill), vaccines recommended by the CDC, and research- or evidence-based preventive mental health and substance abuse services.

In addition, this section would require that the essential benefits package provide, at least for children under 21 years of age, well baby and well child care, and the early and periodic screening, diagnostic and treatment (EPSDT) services currently required for all children under 21 years of age who are covered under Medicaid.<sup>21</sup>

\_

ReducingOutOfPocketCosts.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, established in Section 915(a) of the PHSA, http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/uspstfix.htm; and the Task Force on Community Preventive Services, not explicitly authorized but conducted under general authorities in Title III of the PHSA, http://www.thecommunityguide.org/index.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> CRS Report R40661, Wellness Programs: Selected Legal Issues, coordinated by Nancy Lee Jones.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> These services are mandated in Sec. 1905(r) of the SSA, and are enumerated at CMS, "EPSDT Benefits," http://www.cms.hhs.gov/MedicaidEarlyPeriodicScrn/02\_Benefits.asp.

### Sec. 1306. Medicare Coverage and Waiver of Cost-Sharing

This section would amend **SSA Sec. 1861** to define "Medicare covered preventive services" as a specified list of currently covered services, and any services subsequently covered under the Secretary's administrative authority. Coverage would be subject to conditions and limitations that currently apply to each listed service, except that any cost-sharing (deductible and/or coinsurance) that currently applies would be waived.<sup>22</sup>

### Sec. 1307. Waiver of Medicare Deductible for Colorectal Cancer Screening

This section would amend **SSA Sec. 1833** to clarify that coinsurance and the deductible would be waived for colorectal cancer screening services regardless of the code applied, of the establishment of a diagnosis, or of the removal of tissue or other matter or other procedure that is performed in connection with and as a result of the screening test.

### Sec. 1310. Expanding Access to Vaccines under Medicare

This section would provide Medicare Part B coverage for all federally recommended vaccines, defined as any approved vaccine that is recommended by the CDC upon advice from the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices.<sup>23</sup>

### Sec. 1311. Expansion of Medicare Covered Preventive Services at FQHCs<sup>24</sup>

This section would amend **SSA Sec. 1861** to provide that FQHCs may receive Medicare reimbursement for Medicare covered preventive services, as defined in Sec. 1306 of this bill.

### Sec. 1312. Certified Diabetes Educators as Certified Medicare Providers

This section would amend **SSA Sec. 1861** to designate certain certified diabetes educators as Medicare-certified providers of covered diabetes self-management training (DSMT) services. A "certified diabetes educator" would be defined as an individual who meets specified criteria, including certification by a "recognized certifying body," which also would be defined.

### Sec. 1711. Medicaid Coverage of Preventive Services

This section would amend **SSA Sec. 1905** to require Medicaid state plans to cover, for all beneficiaries, preventive services that the Secretary determines are (1) services recommended by the Task Force on Clinical Preventive Services (established by this bill), or vaccines

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> CBO scored this provision in H.R. 3200, the introduced bill, as increasing federal outlays by \$1.1 billion over five years and \$2.8 billion over ten years. CBO cost estimate of H.R. 3200, the America's Affordable Health Choices Act of 2009, July 17, 2009, p. 5 of 10, http://www.cbo.gov/ftpdocs/104xx/doc10464/hr3200.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> CBO scored this provision in H.R. 3200, the introduced bill, as increasing federal outlays by \$200 million over 5 years and \$1.5 billion over 10 years. (Reference as above).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> This provision is present only in the bill ordered reported by the Ways and Means Committee.

recommended by the CDC; and (2) appropriate for Medicaid beneficiaries. <sup>25</sup> Beneficiary costsharing would not be required. <sup>26</sup>

### Sec. 1712. Medicaid Coverage of Tobacco Cessation Products

Federal Medicaid law permits states to exclude coverage of eleven drug categories. Smoking cessation products are among the drug categories that states may exclude from Medicaid coverage, or otherwise restrict. This section would amend **SSA Sec. 1927** by requiring state Medicaid plans to cover FDA-approved smoking cessation products (including non-prescription products).

### **Provisions in the Public Health Service Act**

### Sec. 2301. Prevention and Wellness

This provision would create a new **PHSA Title XXXI**—Prevention and Wellness, consisting of several new PHSA subtitles and sections, as described below.

Subtitle A, Sec. 3111–Prevention and Wellness Trust would establish a Prevention and Wellness Trust and authorize the appropriation to the Trust of funds from the general fund of the Treasury; and the Public Health Investment Fund as established in Sec. 2002 of this bill. (Under Sec. 2002 of this bill, funds from the Public Health Investment Fund could not be appropriated to the Trust unless amounts from the Treasury for the same fiscal year were at least \$650 million.) This provision would authorize the appropriation of the following amounts from the Public Health Investment Fund to the Trust: \$2.400 billion for FY2010; \$2.845 billion for FY2011; \$3.100 billion for FY2012; \$3.455 billion for FY2013; and \$3.600 billion for FY2014. Amounts in the Trust would be available for carrying out this title as provided in advance in appropriation acts. The provision would authorize the appropriation from the Trust of specified amounts for specified subtitles or sections in this title for each of FY2010 through FY2014. (These amounts are provided with the summaries of each provision, below.)

**Subtitle B, Sec. 3121–National Prevention and Wellness Strategy** would require the Secretary to submit to Congress a National Prevention and Wellness Strategy to improve the nation's health through evidence-based clinical and community-based prevention and wellness activities, including public health infrastructure improvements. The required strategy would include goals and priorities, and identify health disparities in prevention, among other things.

**Subtitle C, Secs. 3131 and 3132–Prevention Task Forces** would require the Secretary to establish two task forces: a Task Force on Clinical Preventive Services, to be administered by AHRQ, and a Task Force on Community Preventive Services, to be administered by CDC.<sup>27</sup> Each

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> In addition, this section would clarify that vaccines covered under the Vaccines for Children (VFC) program are those recommended by the CDC Director, rather than an advisory committee to the Director. Under the VFC program, Medicaid assumes the costs for certain low-income children who receive recommended vaccinations. SSA Sec. 1928(g) provides that Sec. 1928 (and, therefore, the VFC program) would cease to be in effect if federal law were to provide for immunization services for all children as part of a broad-based reform of the national health care system. This section of the bill would also strike SSA Sec. 1928(g).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> For more information about cost-sharing in Medicaid, see CRS Report RS22578, *Medicaid Cost-Sharing Under the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA)*, by Elicia J. Herz.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> In effect, this section would reauthorize or codify two existing task forces: the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, authorized in PHSA Sec. 915 (see http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/uspstfix.htm for more information), and the Task Force on Community Preventive Services, which is not explicitly authorized (see http://www.thecommunityguide.org for more information).

task force would be required, among other things, to review evidence regarding the benefits, effectiveness, appropriateness, and costs of clinical or community preventive services, respectively, and to develop and disseminate recommendations for the use of such services. Each task force would also be required to convene an advisory stakeholders board and would, in general, be subject to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). For carrying out this section, Sec. 3111 would authorize the appropriation from the Trust of \$30 million for each of FY2010 through FY2014. (See also new Subtitle G below, regarding the transition of functions from the existing task forces to the task forces established under this section.)

**Subtitle D, Secs. 3141 and 3142–Prevention and Wellness Research.** Sec. 3141 would require the Directors of the CDC and NIH, in conducting or supporting research on prevention and wellness, to take into consideration the National Prevention and Wellness Strategy and the recommendations of the Task Forces on Clinical and Community Preventive Services. Sec. 3142 would require the Secretary, through the CDC Director, to conduct, or award grants for, research in prevention and wellness priority areas identified in the Strategy, or by the Task Forces. For carrying out this subtitle, Sec. 3111 would authorize the appropriation from the Trust of the following amounts: \$100 million for FY2010; \$150 million for FY2011; \$200 million for FY2012; \$250 million for FY2013; and \$300 million for FY2014.

**Subtitle E, Sec. 3151–Delivery of Community Prevention and Wellness Services** would require the Secretary, through the CDC Director, to award planning or implementation grants for programs to deliver prevention and wellness services that address priority areas identified in the National Prevention and Wellness Strategy. Program requirements would emphasize services intended to reduce health disparities. Funds could not be used for construction, or to fund services that would otherwise be covered by public or private health care programs. For carrying out this section, Sec. 3111 would authorize the appropriation from the Trust of the following amounts: \$1.065 billion for FY2010; \$1.260 billion for FY2011; \$1.365 billion for FY2012; \$1.570 billion for FY2013; and \$1.600 billion for FY2014.

Subtitle F, Secs. 3161 and 3162–Core Public Health Infrastructure. Sec. 3161 would require the Secretary to award grants to each state health department, and authorize the Secretary to award competitive grants to state, local, and tribal health departments, to address core public health infrastructure needs. A specified funding formula would apply to the mandatory grant program; specified maintenance of effort requirements would apply to both grant programs. In addition, the Secretary, acting through the CDC Director, would be required to develop and implement a program of voluntary accreditation of state or local health departments and public health laboratories. For carrying out this section, Sec. 3111 would authorize the appropriation from the Trust of the following amounts: \$800 million for FY2010; \$1.000 billion for FY2011; \$1.100 billion for FY2012; \$1.200 billion for FY2013; and \$1.265 billion for FY2014. In addition, new Sec. 3162 would require the Secretary to expand and improve the core public health infrastructure and activities of the CDC to address unmet and emerging public health needs. For carrying out this section, Sec. 3111 would authorize the appropriation from the Trust of \$350 million for each of FY2010 through FY2014.

**Subtitle G, Sec. 3171–General Provisions** would provide certain definitions applicable to this new title, and procedures for the transition of the functions of the existing U.S. Preventive Services Task Force and Task Force on Community Preventive Services to the Task Force on Clinical Preventive Services and the Task Force on Community Preventive Services, established under Secs. 3131 and 3132 of this bill.

# **Employer-Provided Wellness Programs**

Increasingly, employers are offering incentives to encourage their employees to participate in worksite health and wellness programs. Employer-sponsored wellness programs are subject to HIPAA's nondiscrimination rules and, depending on their design, may also be affected by other statutes such as the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).<sup>28</sup> Generally, HIPAA prohibits an employer-sponsored health plan from denying enrollment or increasing an individual's premium contribution based on the individual's health status. However, the law provides an exception for plans that offer financial incentives (e.g., premium rebates, lower deductibles) to join a wellness program. A program that provides an incentive simply to encourage participation is considered nondiscriminatory under HIPAA (e.g., reimbursing the cost of a gym membership, or offering an incentive to participate in a smoking cessation clinic, regardless of outcome). But a wellness program that provides an incentive based on achieving a specified health-related outcome, such as giving up smoking or reaching a stated weight loss goal, must meet certain requirements in order to be considered nondiscriminatory. Those requirements include having a program that is reasonably designed to promote health or prevent disease, offering the program to all eligible employees, and providing a reasonable alternative to individuals for whom it would be unreasonably difficult or medically inadvisable to attempt to satisfy the goal.<sup>29</sup>

The ADA, which in part prohibits an employer from discriminating against an individual with a disability with regard to employment and benefits, specifically exempts health programs from its requirements if (1) participation in the program is voluntary and (2) the health information is treated confidentially, kept separate from other employment records, and not used to limit health insurance coverage. However, according to Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) guidance on ADA enforcement, offering an incentive may render a wellness program involuntary if it is required in order to participate in an employer's insurance program.<sup>30</sup>

Employer-sponsored wellness programs also are subject to federal health privacy laws. Generally, individually identifiable health information acquired through a wellness program is protected under the HIPAA privacy rule if (1) the program is considered a part of the health plan, (2) the program provides and bills for health care services, or (3) the program is operated outside of the health plan by an entity that has a HIPAA-compliant business associate contract with the plan. ARRA strengthened the privacy rule's business associate requirements, making such entities criminally liable if they violate the HIPAA privacy protections. The HIPAA privacy rule allows health plans to disclose health information to employers, subject to certain conditions that include prohibiting the use of such information for employment-related actions.

## Sec. 2552. Wellness Program Grants<sup>31</sup>

This section would require the Secretary of Labor to establish a grant program to help employers cover the costs of providing wellness programs to their employees. Qualified employers would be those that offer a qualified health benefits plan (QHBP) to every employee and meet the health coverage participation requirements, each as defined in Division A of this bill. Allowable costs would be those attributable to the qualified wellness program (excluding the cost of food), and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Nondiscrimination and Wellness Programs in Health Coverage in the Group Market, 71 Fed. Reg. 75014 (Dec. 13, 2006). For more information on HIPAA's nondiscrimination provisions as they apply to wellness programs, see CRS Report R40661, *Wellness Programs: Selected Legal Issues*, coordinated by Nancy Lee Jones.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> http://www.eeoc.gov/foia/letters/2009/ada\_disability\_medexam\_healthrisk.html. For a more detailed discussion see CRS Report R40661, *Wellness Programs: Selected Legal Issues*, coordinated by Nancy Lee Jones.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> This provision is present only in the bill ordered reported by the Education and Labor Committee.

not to the health plan or health insurance coverage offered in connection with such a plan. Grants for a given plan year would be capped at \$200 per employee for employers with 200 or fewer employees, or \$100 per employee for employers with more than 200 employees. Grants could be provided for up to three years and would be capped at \$50,000, in total, for an employer.

A qualified wellness program would be certified by the Secretary of Labor, in coordination with the Health Choices Commissioner (established in Division A of this bill) and the CDC Director, as meeting several criteria, including (1) being consistent with current evidence-based research and best practices; (2) being culturally appropriate, and accessible for individuals with disabilities and with limited English proficiency, among others; (3) having a number of required components, including health awareness, health education, periodic screenings, employee engagement, and listed behavioral change activities (including smoking cessation and weight reduction); and (4) having supportive work policies regarding tobacco use, food choices, stress management, and physical activity. A program could not be certified unless each required program component were available to all employees. However, employee participation could not be mandated. Also, qualified wellness programs could not provide incentives for participation.

Any employee health information collected through the wellness program would be confidential. Employers would have no access to the information. Entities offering wellness programs would be considered business associates of the health plan. There would be authorized to be appropriated SSAN to carry out this section.

# Food and Drug Administration

# **Background and Issues**

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is responsible for the safety of most foods, as well as the safety and the effectiveness of human drugs, biologics (e.g., vaccines, blood, and blood components), and medical devices, among other things.<sup>32</sup>

FDA's regulation of medical products affects aspects of the cost, quality, and accessibility of health care. Medical products comprise a large percentage—over 15%—of health care costs.<sup>33</sup> The products' effectiveness, which FDA evaluates, is a major component of health care quality. Their availability to consumers, which FDA regulates, is one component of access to health care. In the context of health care, adding regulatory requirements may increase the quality of medical products that reach the market, but may also raise the cost of those products or delay consumer access to them.

FDA's regulation of food, in particular its nutrition labeling requirements, may have an effect on the health of individuals as well. This is particularly relevant given links between obesity and chronic diseases that may drive up health care costs.

The House legislation contains five FDA-related provisions that would affect the agency's regulation of four types of products. For medical devices, one provision would create a national

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> For further information about FDA, see CRS Report RS22946, *Food and Drug Administration (FDA): Overview and Issues*, by Erin D. Williams.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> This percentage is based upon CMS data from 2007. It was generated by dividing \$289 billion (Retail Outlet Sales of Medical Products) by \$1,878 billion (Personal Health Care). The number does not reflect all of the costs of FDA regulated medical product involved in health care spending, because it does not include those purchased by hospitals (such as pacemakers and other implantable devices), dentist's offices (such as fillings), or other health care facilities. "Table 4 - National Health Expenditures, by Source of Funds and Type of Expenditure: Calendar Years 2002 - 2007," CMS website, at http://www.cms.hhs.gov/NationalHealthExpendData/downloads/tables.pdf.

medical device registry. For foods, a second provision would require nutrition labeling at certain restaurants and vending machines. For drugs, a third provision would prohibit activities that can delay FDA's approval of generics. For biologics, a fourth and fifth provision would create a licensure pathway for biosimilars (generic biologics) and authorize the agency to collect associated fees. Each of these is described in more detail below.

# National Medical Device Registry

Concern about the safety of certain high-risk medical devices has led Congress to consider various tracking and postmarket surveillance mechanisms. Sec. 519(e) of the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) permits the Secretary to order a medical device manufacturer to adopt a method of tracking for certain devices that may create risks for patients. <sup>34</sup> The FDA Amendments Act of 2007 (P.L. 110-85) added a new Sec. 519(f), yet to be implemented, which requires medical devices to bear a unique identifier. <sup>35</sup>

#### Sec. 2561. National Medical Device Registry

This section would add a new **FFDCA Sec. 519(g)**, requiring the Secretary to establish a public national medical device registry to facilitate analysis of postmarket safety and outcomes data on certain implantable, life-sustaining, and other types of medical devices. The Secretary would be required to establish a procedure to link specified medical device data from manufacturers with patient safety and outcomes data from disparate sources, and integrate the registry activities with certain other postmarket risk and safety activities required by the FFDCA. In addition, acting through the HHS Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology, the Secretary would be required to adopt standards for the electronic exchange and use in certified electronic health records of a unique device identifier.

# **Nutrition Labeling**

Concern about the rising rates of obesity and the resulting effect on individuals' health and health care costs have prompted Congress to consider options for promoting healthy eating. One option is to require nutrition labeling for some foods currently exempted from such regulations. (See FFDCA Secs. 301(a) and 403). Food served in restaurants is currently among the types exempted from FDA's nutrition labeling requirements.

FFDCA Sec. 403 lists the circumstances that would cause a food to be deemed misbranded, which include the failure to adhere to the Act's nutrition labeling requirements. FFDCA Sec. 403A prohibits states and localities from establishing their own nutrition labeling that is not identical to the Act's requirements. States and localities may petition the Secretary of HHS for an exemption from the preemption clause in FFDCA Sec. 403A.

# Sec. 2562. Chain Restaurant Menus and Vending Machines

This section would insert a new paragraph H into FFDCA Sec. 403(q)(5), requiring nutrition labeling for standard menu items offered for sale in chain restaurants or similar retail food establishments with 20 or more locations. These establishments would be required, for standard

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> For background information about the medical device approval system, see CRS Report RL32826, *The Medical Device Approval Process and Related Legislative Issues*, by Erin D. Williams

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> For information on the implementation status of the unique device identifier, go to http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/UniqueDeviceIdentifiers/default.htm.

menu items, to disclose as specified: (1) the number of calories contained in the item; and (2) the suggested daily caloric intake, as specified by the Secretary by regulation. Such establishments would also be required to make available, at the premises upon request, certain detailed written nutritional information.

The establishments would be required to have a reasonable basis for their nutrient content disclosures. The Secretary would be required to establish by regulation standards for determining and disclosing the nutrient content for standard menu items that come in different flavors, varieties, or combinations, but which are listed as a single menu item.

The section would require certain vending machine operators that own or operate 20 or more machines to provide specified signs disclosing the number of calories contained in each article of food, so that the information is accessible to consumers before they make their purchases.

The Secretary would be required to promulgate proposed regulations as specified to carry out the requirements of the section, and to provide quarterly reports to Congress describing progress toward promulgating final regulations.

The section would amend **FFDCA Sec. 403A** to preempt states and localities from establishing or continuing in effect any requirement for nutrition labeling of a food that is not identical to the requirements of FFDCA Sec. 403(q), including the new requirements for foods served in certain restaurants and retail food. The section also would prohibit the amendments it made from being construed as: (1) preempting any provision of state or local law unless the state or local law creates or continues nutrition disclosures of the type that would be required by this section and those disclosures would be expressly preempted; (2) applying to any state or local requirement about food labeling that provides for safety warnings concerning the food or a component of the food; or (3) applying to any restaurant or similar retail food establishment other than those described in this proposal and offering for sale substantially the same menu items.

# **Generic Drugs**

Congressional interest in generic drugs has been piqued by recent reports suggesting that brand name manufacturers have paid generic drug manufacturers to delay the introduction of their generic drugs into the marketplace. Generic drugs may help control health care costs because they typically have lower prices than their brand-name counterparts. This is the case because the research and development costs associated with developing a new drug (the brand name), are greater than those associated with producing a generic copy of an existing drug. While generics provide a cost-saving option for consumers, they also reduce brand name manufacturers' revenue, which may diminish manufacturers' capacity and incentive to develop additional new drugs.<sup>36</sup>

Companies seek FDA approval to market generic drugs by filing an Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) under FFDCA Sec. 505(j). The filing of an ANDA may trigger patent infringement litigation between the owner of a relevant patent and the ANDA applicant. The FFDCA currently does not restrict the ability of these parties to resolve their patent dispute through settlement. The MMA of 2003 required that certain of these settlements be filed with the Department of Justice (DOJ) and Federal Trade Commission (FTC).

Requirements for FTC actions (and those by other agencies), such as rulemaking and adjudication, are described in the Administrative Procedure Act (APA). APA Sec. 553 covers informal rulemaking procedures and mandates that all agencies publish a notice of proposed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> For further information, see CRS Report RL33605, *Authorized Generic Pharmaceuticals: Effects on Innovation*, by John R. Thomas.

rulemaking in the *Federal Register*, with some exceptions. After an agency publishes such notice, interested persons may then comment on the proposed rule through written submissions with data, views, or arguments, hence the term notice-and-comment rulemaking. Such comments may affect the resulting final rule.

#### Sec. 2563. Protecting Consumer Access to Generic Drugs

This section would add a new paragraph (w) to **FFDCA Sec. 505**, declaring unlawful any agreement resolving or settling a patent infringement claim in which an ANDA applicant receives anything of value and agrees to limit or forgo research, development, manufacturing, marketing, or sales, for any period of time, of the drug that is the subject of the ANDA and the patent. Agreements under which the ANDA applicant receives no more than the right to sell the drug that is the subject of the ANDA and the patent, along with the waiver of past damages for patent infringement, would remain permissible. The FTC would be authorized to enforce these provisions under Sec. 5 of the FTC Act.

The section also would amend the MMA so that any patent litigation settlement covered by this proposal must also be filed with the DOJ and FTC. The chief executive officer or company official responsible for negotiating the agreement must certify that the filing constitutes the complete, final, and exclusive agreement between the parties. The section would require GAO to conduct a series of studies regarding the practice and impact of pharmaceutical patent litigation. Finally, it would allow the FTC to issue informal rulemakings under APA Sec. 553 to exempt certain agreements from the proposal's requirements if the FTC finds that such agreements are "in furtherance of market competition and for the benefit of consumers." The proposal states that such rules can include interpretive rules and general statements of policy.

#### **Biosimilars**

A biosimilar, often called a "follow-on" biologic, is *similar* to a brand-name biologic while a generic drug is the *same* as a brand-name chemical drug. Chemical drugs are small molecules for which the equivalence of chemical structure between the brand-name drug and a generic version is relatively easy to determine. In contrast, comparing the structure of a biosimilar and the brand-name biologic is far more scientifically challenging. A biologic is a preparation, such as a drug or a vaccine, that is made from living organisms. Most biologics are complex proteins that require special handling (such as refrigeration) and are usually administered to patients via injection or infused directly into the bloodstream. In many cases, current technology will not allow complete characterization of biological products. Additional clinical trials may be necessary before the FDA would approve a biosimilar.<sup>37</sup>

Congress is interested in creating an expedited pathway for the approval of biosimilars for the same reasons it was interested in allowing access to generic chemical drugs in 1984: cost savings. The pathway for biosimilars would be analogous to the FDA's authority for approving generic chemical drugs under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984 (P.L. 98-417). Often referred to as the Hatch-Waxman Act, this law allows the generic company to establish that its drug product is chemically the same as the already approved innovator drug, and thereby relies on the FDA's previous finding of safety and effectiveness for the approved drug.

The generic drug industry achieves cost savings by avoiding the expense of clinical trials, as well as the initial drug research and development costs that were incurred by the brand-name

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> For additional information, see CRS Report RL34045, *FDA Regulation of Follow-On Biologics*, by Judith A. Johnson.

manufacturer. The cost of brand-name biologics is often prohibitively high. For example, the rheumatoid arthritis and psoriasis treatment Enbrel costs \$16,000 per year. It is thought that a pathway enabling the FDA approval of biosimilars will allow for market competition and reduction in prices, though perhaps not to the same extent as occurred with generic chemical drugs under Hatch-Waxman.

#### Sec. 2565. Licensure Pathway for Biosimilar Biological Products.

This section would amend **PHSA Sec. 351** by opening a pathway for the approval of biosimilars. A biosimilar is defined as a biological product that is highly similar to the reference (brand-name) product such that there is no clinically meaningful difference between the biological product and the reference product. A biological product is defined as a protein (except any chemically synthesized polypeptide).

The section would allow the Secretary to determine that elements (such as clinical studies) in the application for the licensure of a biological product as biosimilar or interchangeable may be unnecessary. The Secretary would determine that the reference product and a biological product are interchangeable according to specified criteria. Interchangeable means that the biological product may be substituted for the reference product without the intervention of the health care provider who prescribed the reference product. Special requirements would apply to certain biosimilars that might present a greater risk (e.g., toxins or controlled substances).

The section would allow for a period of exclusive marketing for the biological product that is the first to be established as interchangeable with the reference product. The provision also would provide a 12-year data exclusivity period (from the date on which the reference product was first approved) for the reference product and would provide an additional six months of exclusivity if pediatric studies show health benefits in that population.<sup>38</sup>

The Secretary may publish proposed guidance as specified for public comment prior to publication of final guidance on the licensure of a biological product. If guidance is to be developed, a process must be established to allow for public input regarding priorities for issuing guidance. The issuance or non-issuance of guidance would not preclude the review of, or action on, an application. The provision also would require the Secretary to ensure that the labeling and packaging of each biological product bears a unique name that distinguishes it from the reference product and any other biological products that are evaluated against the reference product.

Finally, the section would set forth a process governing patent infringement claims against an applicant or prospective applicant for a biological product license. It also would establish new processes for identifying patents that might be disputed between the reference product company and the company submitting a biosimilar application.

## Sec. 2566. Fees Relating to Biosimilar Biological Products.

This section would amend FFDCA Sec. 735(1) to allow for the collection of user fees for the review of applications for approval of biosimilars.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> For more information on exclusivity and patents, see CRS Report RL33901, *Follow-On Biologics: Intellectual Property and Innovation Issues*, by Wendy H. Schacht and John R. Thomas.

# **Emergency Care**

# **Background and Issues**

PHSA Title XII authorizes the Secretary, acting through HRSA, to fund trauma care research, training, evaluations, and demonstration projects. Title XII, Part D, comprising Secs. 1241-1245, authorizes grants to trauma centers operating in areas severely affected by drug-related violence that have incurred substantial costs for providing uncompensated care. PHSA Title XXVIII addresses preparedness for and response to bioterrorism and other public health emergencies.

Many trauma experts consider the first 60 minutes after an injury to be a so-called "golden hour" when trauma care is most effective in saving lives. Given that the risk of death for severely injured patients rises significantly after one hour, trauma systems strive to offer access within that time period, from receipt of the initial emergency call to arrival at a trauma center. The geographic distribution of trauma centers varies widely across states and regions. Many areas of the country are not well served by trauma centers, while other areas may have a surplus of centers, possibly leading to inefficiencies, lower patient volumes per center, and reduced quality of care. More than 84% of U.S. residents can reach level I or II trauma center within an hour, but access lags in rural areas.<sup>39</sup>

#### Sec. 2541. Trauma Care Centers

This section would amend **PHSA Secs. 1241-1245** by replacing the existing language with the following new provisions.

Sec. 1241–Grants for Certain Trauma Centers would require the Secretary to establish a grant program to fund (1) existing trauma centers to further their core missions or to provide emergency relief to those at risk of closing or in need of financial assistance; and (2) grants to local government and public or private nonprofit entities to establish new trauma centers in urban areas with a substantial degree of trauma due to violent crimes. In states with a trauma care system, a trauma center would not be eligible for such a grant unless it is part of the trauma care component of the state plan for the provision of emergency care. The grantee trauma center would have to be designated as a trauma center by the American College of Surgeons (ACS) or the applicable state health or emergency medical services authority.

Sec. 1242–Preferences in Making Grants would require that when making grants to existing centers the Secretary (1) reserve at least 25% for level III and IV trauma centers in rural and underserved areas, (2) reserve at least 25% for level I and II trauma centers in urban areas, and (3) give preference to areas with unmet needs for trauma services, centers receiving state or political subdivision funding, centers with at least one graduation medical education fellowship program in a specified trauma-related area, and those with a substantial commitment to serving vulnerable populations. The section would require states and local entities to use nonfederal funds to demonstrate financial support for the trauma center. It also would designate trauma center levels for states that do not have trauma centers at designated levels, defining levels I and II as the highest levels of trauma centers. The Secretary would be required to give preference, based on geography and need, to certain applicants for grants made to new and existing trauma centers.

**Sec. 1243**—**Certain Agreements** would require grantee trauma centers to participate in a professional trauma care system, where available, and maintain access to trauma services at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Charles C. Branas, *No Time to Spare: Improving Access to Trauma Care*, University of Pennsylvania, Leonard David Institute of Health Economics, September 2005, http://www.upenn.edu/ldi/issuebrief11\_1.pdf.

comparable levels to the prior year during the grant program. Moreover, these trauma centers would be required to agree to provide data to a national and centralized registry of trauma centers, in accordance with guidelines developed by the ACS and other requirements.

Sec. 1244—General Provisions would limit grants to three years (with a specific exception). Receipt of a grant would not preclude a trauma center from being eligible for other grants established by PHSA Sec. 1241(a), as amended. The section also would establish that of the total amount appropriated for these grants in a fiscal year, 90% be used for core mission grants to existing centers, and 10% be used to provide emergency relief to existing centers and to fund new centers. The Secretary would be required to report biennially to Congress on the grant program.

**Sec. 1245**—**Authorization of Appropriations** would authorize to be appropriated for the trauma care center grant program, in addition to any other authorization of appropriations for such purpose, \$100 million for FY2010, and SSAN for each of FY2011 through FY2015. The Secretary would be required to reallocate funds not used for providing emergency relief and for establishing new trauma centers to fund the core mission of existing trauma centers.

# Sec. 2542. Emergency Care Coordination

This section would amend PHSA Title XXVIII, Subtitle B by adding a new PHSA Sec. 2816, establishing an Emergency Care Coordination Center within the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response (ASPR). The Secretary, acting through the Center Director, would be required to coordinate with the Federal Interagency Committee on Emergency Medical Services to promote and fund research in emergency medicine and trauma care, promote regional partnerships in the emergency medical systems, and promote emergency medical systems' preparedness. The section would establish the Council of Emergency Care, consisting of specified experts, to advise the Director. The Secretary would be required to submit a report to Congress on activities carried out under this section, including the issues of emergency department crowding and delays in care. There would be authorized to be appropriated SSAN to carry out this section for each of FY2010 through FY2014. All functions, personnel, assets, liabilities of, and administrative actions applicable to, the existing Center would be transferred to the new Center established by this section.

# Sec. 2543. Regionalized Communication Systems for Emergency Care Response

This section would amend PHSA Title III, Part B by adding a new PHSA Sec. 315, requiring the Secretary, acting through the ASPR, to establish at least four multi-year demonstration projects to design, implement and evaluate innovative models of regionalized, comprehensive, and accountable emergency care systems. Entities eligible for funding would be partnerships between one or more states and one or more local governments. The demonstration projects would: (1) coordinate services across certain public agencies and medical facilities; (2) coordinate access to the emergency medical and dispatch system; (3) include a mechanism to ensure that patients are transported to medically appropriate facilities in a timely manner; (4) allow for tracking of resources such as capacity at emergency departments and coordinate this information with regional communications and hospital destination decisions; and (5) include a consistent region-wide data management system, which complies with the National EMS Information System, the National Trauma Data Bank and other specified registries, and can be used for evaluation.

Eligible entities would be required to submit an application, including certain required information on appropriate coordination, systems compatibility, oversight, and surge capacity, and also make nonfederal matching financial contributions to the activities as specified. Grantees

would be required to submit an evaluation report to the Secretary within 90 days of completion of the demonstration project. The Secretary would be required to give funding priority to an eligible entity that serves a medically underserved population as defined in PHSA 330(b)(3). The Secretary also would be required to contract with an entity to independently evaluate the demonstration programs and to make publicly available the findings of the evaluation.

There would be authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$12 million for each of FY2010 through FY2015. Three percent of the appropriated amount would be reserved to conduct the independent evaluation.

#### Sec. 2544. Emergency Medical Technician Training for Veterans

This section would further amend PHSA Title III by adding a new **PHSA Sec. 315A**, requiring the Secretary to establish a state grant program to assist veterans who have received and completed military emergency medical training during their active duty service in the U.S. Armed Forces to become state licensed or certified emergency medical technicians (EMTs) upon their discharge or release from active duty service. The grants could be used to fund training, reimburse costs associated with training or when applying for EMT licensure, and expedite the licensure process. To be eligible for a grant, a state would be required to demonstrate that the state has a shortage of EMTs. There would be authorized to be appropriated to carry out the grant program SSAN for each of FY2010 through FY2014.

The section would require the Secretary to submit to Congress an annual report on the program. GAO would be required to conduct a study on the barriers experienced by veterans who received medical training while serving on active duty service and, upon their discharge from active duty, sought to become licensed as civilian health professionals. Within two years of enactment, GAO would be required to report on the results of the study, including recommendations on expansion of this EMT training program to other health professions.

#### Sec. 2545. Dental Emergency Responders: Public Health and Medical Response

This section would amend PHSA Sec. 2802 to clarify that dental health facilities and assets are to be included in the development of national public health emergency preparedness goals in the National Health Security Strategy, regarding matters of preparedness for and response to public health emergencies. It also would amend PHSA Sec. 319F to clarify that emergency curricula and training programs could be carried out at federal dental health facilities.

## Sec. 2546. Dental Emergency Responders: Homeland Security

This section would amend Sec. 2 of the Homeland Security Act (HSA) to add emergency dental personnel, agencies, and authorities to the definition of emergency response providers. It also would amend Sec. 653 of the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006 (PKEMRA, Title VI of P.L. 109-295) to require that federal agency operational plans address the "[t]he preparedness and deployment of public health, medical and dental resources...." Finally, it would amend Sec. 516 of the HSA to state that the Chief Medical Officer of the Department of Homeland Security serves as the Department's primary point of contact with the medical and dental communities.

# **Behavioral Health Care**

# **Background and Issues**

Existing behavioral health programs authorized under PHSA Title V and Title IX provide funding for prevention and treatment of mental health and substance abuse problems. These programs are administered by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). Appropriations authorities for most of the Title V programs have expired, though many of them continue to receive funding. PHSA Title XXVII, Sec. 2705 requires insurers who choose to offer coverage for behavioral health to provide it on par with their coverage for physical health conditions.

In 2007, about 11% of Americans aged 18 or older (i.e., 23.7 million individuals) experienced serious psychological distress, such as anxiety and mood disorders, that resulted in functional impairment that impeded one or more major life activities. During the same year, an estimated 8% of Americans aged 12 or older (i.e., 19.9 million individuals) were current users of illicit drugs. The behavioral health care system has numerous issues including access to and availability of services, quality of care, insurance coverage and payment, and coordination of care. The description of the coordination of care.

The House legislation includes four sections that address behavioral health issues. They focus on mental health parity, federally qualified behavioral health centers, training programs, and postpartum depression.

## Sec. 114 and Sec. 122. Parity for Mental Health and Substance Abuse Benefits

Under Sec. 114, QHBPs (including the public health insurance option) would be required to comply with the existing parity rules regarding the amount, duration, and scope of mental health and substance abuse benefits. Those rules do not mandate that plans provide behavioral health coverage. However, Sec. 122 requires the essential benefits package, offered as part of the public health insurance option, to include behavioral health services. Thus, the public health insurance option would be required to offer full parity mental health and substance abuse treatment benefits.

# Sec. 2513. Federally Qualified Behavioral Health Centers

This section would amend **PHSA Sec. 1913** to establish federally qualified behavioral health centers.

The provision would modify the waiver authority by deleting the term "community mental health services" and inserting the term "behavioral health services." In addition, the provision would replace the term "community mental health centers" with "federally qualified behavioral health centers" in existing requirements for the venue where these health services are provided. The provision would also require an entity to be certified as a federally qualified behavioral health center, by the SAMHSA Administrator at least every five years, based on certain specified

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> For more information on SAMHSA, see CRS Report RL33997, *Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA): Reauthorization Issues*, by Ramya Sundararaman.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, *National Survey on Drug Use and Health*, 2007, at http://www.oas.samhsa.gov/NSDUHlatest.htm. A "current user" is defined as someone who used an illicit drug during the month prior to the survey interview.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> For more information on issues related to the mental health care delivery system, see CRS Report R40536, *The U.S. Mental Health Delivery System Infrastructure: A Primer*, by Ramya Sundararaman.

criteria. The Administrator would be required to issue regulations for certifying these centers within 18 months of enactment.

The provision would amend Subpart I of Part B of Title XIX by replacing the heading "Community Mental Health Services" with "Behavioral Mental Health Services." In addition, the provision would make similar conforming amendments in the heading of Secs. 1912(a)(1), 1912(b), 1915(b)(1) and 1918(a)(8). The provision would make conforming amendments to delete "community mental health centers" and add "federally qualified behavioral health centers" to PHSA Sec. 520(b)(13) and PHSA Sec. 520F(b).

#### Sec. 2522. Mental and Behavioral Health Training

This section would amend PHSA Title VII, Part E by adding at the end a new **PHSA Sec. 775**, requiring the Secretary to, among other things, establish a grant program to support interdisciplinary mental and behavioral health training programs. Eligible entities would include (1) accredited schools or programs of psychology, psychiatry, social work and other disciplines as specified; (2) an accredited public or nonprofit private hospital; (3) a public or private nonprofit entity; or (4) a consortium of two or more such entities. The Secretary would give preference to applicants who have a demonstrated record of (1) training health professionals who serve in underserved communities; (2) supporting teaching programs that address the health care needs of vulnerable populations; (3) training individuals who are from underserved areas, minority groups or disadvantaged backgrounds; (4) training individuals who serve geriatric populations; and (5) training individuals who serve pediatric populations.

The Secretary would be required to submit an annual report to Congress on this program. There would be authorized to be appropriated for this program \$60 million for each of FY2010 through FY2014. Of the amounts appropriated for each fiscal year, at least 15% would be required to be used for psychology training programs.

#### Sec. 2530. Postpartum Depression

This section would encourage the Secretary to expand and intensify research on the causes of, and treatments for, postpartum conditions, including conducting basis research and epidemiological studies, improving screening and diagnostic techniques, and developing information and education programs. The Secretary would be required to study and, within two years of enactment, report to Congress on the benefits of screening for postpartum conditions. It would be the sense of Congress that the Director of the National Institute of Mental Health may conduct a nationally representative longitudinal study on the relative mental health consequences for women of resolving a pregnancy (intended and unintended) in various ways. There would be authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section, in addition to any other amounts authorized to be appropriated for such purpose, SSAN for FY2010 through FY2012.

# Pain Care and Management

Under general authorities in PHSA Title III and Title IV, NIH established the Pain Consortium to enhance pain research and promote collaboration among researchers across various NIH Institutes and Centers that have programs and activities addressing pain. In addition, PHSA Sec. 403 requires the NIH Director to submit to the President and Congress a biennial report that includes, among other things, a summary of the research activities throughout the agency organized by category; the chronic disease category includes pain and palliative care.

The House legislation includes the following three sections that would address pain research, education, and awareness for the purposes of recognizing pain as a national public health problem.

#### Sec. 2551. Institute of Medicine Conference on Pain

This section would require the Secretary to seek an agreement with the IOM (or another appropriate entity if the IOM declines) to convene a Conference on Pain for the purposes of increasing the recognition of pain as a significant public health problem in the United States, among other purposes. It also would require a report summarizing the Conference's findings to be submitted to Congress. For the purpose of carrying out this section, the bill would authorize to be appropriated \$500,000 for each of FY2010 and FY2011.

#### Sec. 2552. Pain Research

This section would amend PHSA Title IV, Part B to add a new Sec. 409J, which would encourage the NIH Director to continue and expand an aggressive program of research on the causes of and potential treatment for pain through the Pain Consortium. The Pain Consortium, no less than annually, would develop and submit to the NIH Director recommendations on appropriate pain research initiatives that could be undertaken with funds reserved under the PHSA Common Fund or otherwise available for such initiatives. The Secretary also would be required to establish, and as necessary maintain, the Interagency Pain Research Coordinating Committee to coordinate all efforts within HHS and other federal agencies that relate to pain research.

#### Sec. 2553. Public Awareness Campaign on Pain Management

This section would amend PHSA Title II, Part B to add a new Sec. 249 requiring the Secretary to establish and implement a national pain care education outreach and awareness campaign to educate consumers, patients, their families, and other caregivers about various issues with respect to pain as a national public health problem. The Secretary would be authorized to make awards to public agencies and private nonprofit organizations to assist with the development and implementation of the public awareness campaign. For the purposes of carrying out this section, there would be authorized to be appropriated \$2 million for FY2010 and \$4 million for each of FY2011 and FY2012.

# Miscellaneous

#### Sec. 2524. Telehealth Grant Programs

PHSA Sec. 330I authorizes four-year grants for telehealth networks and for telehealth resource centers. PHSA Sec. 330L authorizes grants to provide incentives to coordinate telemedicine licensure among states. Appropriations authority for the two programs, which are administered by HRSA's Office for the Advancement of Telehealth (OAT), expired at the end of FY2006.

This section would amend PHSA Sec. 330I by reauthorizing grants for telehealth networks and telehealth resource centers. With regard to telehealth network grants, the section would permit OAT to award such grants for projects that demonstrate how telehealth technologies may be used to reduce health disparities, and it would add skilled nursing facilities, among others, to the list of facilities that are eligible to participate in a network. It also would expand the list of activities for which funds may be used to include developing projects to use telehealth technology to facilitate collaboration between health care providers, promoting telenursing services, and promoting

patient understanding and adherence to national guidelines for personal health care. In addition, the section would establish new criteria for giving funding preference to eligible applicants for both the telehealth network grants and the telehealth recource center grants. Finally, it would amend existing reporting requirements to require an annual report on the progress and accomplishments of the telehealth grant programs.

The section would authorize the appropriation of the following amounts for each of the two Sec. 330I telehealth grant programs, and for the Sec. 330L grants to coordinate telehealth licensure among states: \$10 million for FY2010, and SSAN for each of FY2011 through FY2014.

#### Sec. 2525. School Influenza Vaccination Centers

This section would require the Secretary to award grants for demonstration programs to study the feasibility of using elementary and secondary schools as influenza vaccination centers. It would require the Secretary, in carrying out the grant program, to coordinate with the Secretaries of Labor and Education, state Medicaid agencies, state insurance agencies, and private insurers, to ensure that children have coverage for the costs of influenza vaccinations, including purchasing and administering the vaccine outside of the physician's office in a school or other related setting. The participation of any school or individual would be voluntary.

The grant program would cover the costs of influenza vaccine and its administration for children who are not otherwise covered by an existing federal program or private insurance. Children eligible to receive influenza vaccines under existing federal programs would not be covered under this program. The Secretary would be required to award: (1) a minimum of 10 grants in 10 different states to eligible partnerships that each include one or more public schools serving primarily low-income students (as defined); and (2) a minimum of five grants in five different states to eligible partnerships that each include one or more public schools located in a rural local education agency. Partnerships would be required to use grants provided to conduct a demonstration program over a period of two consecutive school years.

Within 90 days of its completion, the Secretary would be required to report to Congress on the effect of the program on influenza vaccination rates, including an assessment of the utility of using elementary and secondary school vaccination programs to respond to seasonal influenza and an influenza pandemic. The section would authorize the appropriation of SSAN to carry out the program.

# Sec. 2526. WISEWOMAN Program

This section would amend **PHSA Sec. 1509** by reauthorizing the WISEWOMAN program. This program, which is administered by CDC, provides supplemental grants for demonstration programs to provide preventive health services (such as blood pressure and cholesterol screenings) and appropriate follow-up to low-income women. Only states that receive funding to provide breast and cervical cancer screening services to low-income women (authorized under PHSA Sec. 1501) are eligible for these grants. Appropriations authority for the WISEWOMAN program expired at the end of FY2003, but the program has continued to receive funding. Although PHSA Sec. 1509 authorizes grants in no more than three states, currently 19 states and two tribal organizations are receiving funding, under the Secretary's general authority to award grants for public health and disease prevention programs in PHSA Title III.

The section would remove the three-state limit and authorize the appropriation of the following amounts for the WISEWOMAN program: \$70.0 million for FY2010, \$73.5 million for FY2011, \$77.0 million for FY2012, \$81.0 million for FY2013, and \$85.0 million for FY2014.

## Sec. 2527. Healthy Teen Initiative to Prevent Teen Pregnancy

This section would add a new PHSA Sec. 317U, creating a state grant program for evidence-based education programs to reduce teen pregnancy or sexually transmitted diseases. The amount of funding provided to each state would be based on the proportion of the nation's low-income children residing in that state. States would be required to provide \$1 in matching funds or in-kind contributions for each \$4 of grants funds, and use no more than 10% of the grant funds for an independent evaluation of the program.

The Secretary would be required, within 180 days of enactment, to develop and periodically update a registry of evidence-based, medically and scientifically accurate, age-appropriate programs, from which the states would choose the programs they intend to use. The section would authorize an appropriation of \$50 million for each of FY2010 through FY2014.

#### Sec. 2528. Grants for National Autism Training Initiative

PHSA Title III, Part R, comprising Secs. 399AA-399EE, authorizes several programs related to autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and other developmental disabilities. Sec. 399AA authorizes grants for the collection, analysis, and reporting of state epidemiological data on ASD and other developmental disabilities, and directs the Secretary to establish regional centers of excellence in ASD epidemiology. Sec. 399BB requires the Secretary to establish and evaluate activities to increase public awareness of ASD and other developmental disabilities, research and promote early screening, and support evidence-based interventions. The Secretary is further directed to provide culturally competent information about ASD and other developmental disabilities to meet the needs of individuals with these conditions and their families through federal health, education and welfare programs.

Sec. 399CC requires the Secretary to establish an Interagency Autism Coordinating Committee to track ASD-related research, monitor federal ASD activities, make recommendations to the Secretary, and submit an annual ASD research plan to Congress. Sec. 399DD requires the Secretary to submit to Congress a progress report on activities related to ASD and other developmental disabilities, as outlined in the Combating Autism Act of 2006, no later than four years after its enactment. Sec. 399EE authorizes appropriations for the activities authorized under Secs. 399AA, 399BB, and 399CC through FY2011.

This section would amend PHSA Title III, Part R by adding at the end a new Sec. 399FF—National Training Initiative, requiring the Secretary, in consultation with the Interagency Autism Coordinating Committee, to award national training supplemental grants to qualifying University Centers for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities or other qualified entities. These grants would expand and develop interdisciplinary training and continuing education initiatives for parents, health, allied health, vocational, educational, and other professionals, and develop model services and supports that demonstrate evidence-based practices. The Secretary also would be required to reserve up to 2% of the appropriated funds to make a grant to a qualified national organization for training and technical assistance to University Centers for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities. This organization would assist in national dissemination of specific information from these centers; compile and disseminate technical assistance materials; assist in the coordination of grantees under this section; and serve as a research-based resource for policymakers, among other activities.

The section would authorize to be appropriated \$17 million for FY2011, to be equally divided among existing University Centers of Excellence for Developmental Disabilities, and SSAN for FY2012 through FY2015. If the appropriation in any fiscal year were less than \$17 million, the

Secretary would be required to award competitive grants to individual Centers of not less than \$250,000 per grant.

Finally, the section would require the Secretary to award up to four additional grants for University Centers of Excellence in Developmental Disabilities to expand the capacity of the existing national network and to enhance the number of training facilities serving minority institutions with a primary focus on ASD and related developmental disabilities. It would authorize to be appropriated \$2 million for each of FY2011 through FY2015 for these grants.

## Sec. 2529. Medication Management Services for Treating Chronic Disease

This section would require the Secretary, acting through the Director of AHRQ, to provides grants to support medication management (MTM) services provided by licensed pharmacists. Currently, Medicare Part D sponsors are required to establish MTM programs, in cooperation with licensed pharmacists, to ensure that covered Part D drugs are used appropriately and reduce adverse drug interactions. Part D plans have significant flexibility in structuring their MTM programs and deciding which targeted populations are appropriate for MTM services. In a July 2008 study, CMS examined the attributes and features of MTM models currently in use and concluded that it is too soon to tell how the various MTM models contribute to clinical outcomes.

The section would require AHRQ to establish an MTM grant program by May 1, 2010. Grantees would have to provide various specified MTM services to targeted individuals such as (1) assessing patients' health and functional status; (2) formulating a medical treatment plan; (3) administering appropriate medication therapy; (4) monitoring and evaluating patient response to therapy; (5) documenting the care delivered and communicating essential aspects to appropriate care providers; (6) providing education and training to enhance the appropriate use of medications; and (7) coordinating and integrating MTM services in broader health care management. MTM services provided by licensed pharmacists under this program would be targeted at individuals who take four or more prescribed medications, take high-risk medications, have two or more chronic diseases, or have undergone a transition of care or other factors that are likely to create a high risk of medication-related problems. The Secretary would be required to assess and evaluate specified aspects of the program and report to Congress.

#### Sec. 2531. Grants to Promote Positive Health Behaviors and Outcomes

This section would amend PHSA Title III, Part P by adding a new Sec. 399V authorizing the Secretary, in collaboration with CDC and other federal officials as appropriate, to award grants to public and nonprofit private entities (including FQHCs and public health departments) to promote positive health behaviors for populations in medically underserved communities through the use of community health workers. Grants would be used to provide training support to community health workers, subject to federal guidelines. Those workers, in turn, would provide education, guidance, and outreach to communities on health problems that are prevalent in the medically underserved; effective strategies to promote positive health behaviors (including mental health and oral health); enrollment in available health insurance programs, including CHIP and Medicaid; referral to appropriate health care agencies and community-based programs and organizations; and access to home visitation services to promote maternal health and prenatal care.

The Secretary would be required to give priority to grant applicants that propose to target geographic areas with a high percentage of residents who are eligible for health insurance but are uninsured or underinsured, a high percentage of residents who suffer from chronic diseases, and a high infant mortality rate. The Secretary also would be required to prioritize applicants with

experience in providing health and social services to underserved individuals and engaging community health workers.

The Secretary would be required to encourage funded programs to implement an outcome-based payment system that rewards community health workers for connecting underserved populations with the most appropriate and timely services. Finally, the Secretary would have to report to Congress regarding specified aspects of the program not later than four years after grants are first awarded. There would be authorized to be appropriated \$30 million to carry out the grant program for each of FY2010 through FY2014.

#### Sec. 2581. States Failing to Adhere to Certain Employment Obligations

This section says that a state would be eligible for federal funds authorized under the PHSA only if it agrees, as an employer, to adhere to the requirements in Division A of this bill, and assures that all political subdivisions in the state do the same.

#### Sec. 2582. Study and Termination of Duplicative Grant Programs

This section would require the Secretary to conduct a study and, within one year of enactment, report to Congress whether any of the grant programs established in Division C of this bill are duplicative of one or more HHS grant programs. The Secretary would be required, to the maximum extent appropriate, to terminate any grant program deemed to be duplicative not later than 180 days after the report is issued.

#### Sec. 2584. Report on Parasitic and Other Diseases of Poor Americans

This section would require the Secretary to report to Congress, within one year of enactment, on the epidemiology of, impact of, and appropriate funding required to address neglected diseases of poverty, including a number of specified parasitic diseases. The report should provide the information necessary to enhance health policy to accurately evaluate and address the threat of those diseases.

#### Sec. 2585. Study of Optometrists and Funding for Optometry

This section would require the Secretary to conduct a study addressing, among other things: (1) the projected need for primary eye and vision care, including among underserved, rural, and senior populations; (2) the role and impact of optometrists in the early diagnosis and treatment of glaucoma, cataracts, diabetes, and other conditions; (3) whether optometrists should be recognized and supported as primary care providers; (4) the existence and extent of barriers to the recruitment and participation of underrepresented minorities in optometry, including the potential role played by the lack of eligibility of optometrists, optometry students, and facilities for certain federal health programs; and (5) federal support for clinical optometric education and options for enhancing that support to address barriers to underrepresented minority recruitment and participation in optometry and to improve access to primary eye and vision care, especially in underserved and rural areas. The Secretary would be required, within 18 months of enactment, to report to Congress regarding findings of the study, comments received from consulting stakeholders, and any recommendations.

# Appendix. Acronyms Used in the Report

ACS American College of Surgeons
AHEC Area Health Education Center

AHRQ Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

ANDA Abbreviated New Drug Application

ARRA American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

ASD Autism Spectrum Disorder

**ASPR** Assistance Secretary for Preparedness and Response

CBO Congressional Budget Office

CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CERTF Comparative Effectiveness Research Trust Fund

CHIP Children's Health Insurance Program

CMS Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

**DOL** Department of Labor

**EMT** Emergency Medical Technician

**EPSDT** Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic and Treatment Services

FACA Federal Advisory Committee Act
FDA Food and Drug Administration

FFDCA Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act
FQHC Federally Qualified Health Center
FTC Federal Trade Commission
FTCA Federal Tort Claims Act

FTE full-time equivalent

GAO Government Accountability Office

GME Graduate Medical Education

HAI Health Care-Associated Infection

HHS Health and Human Services

HIT Health Information Technology

HIPAA Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act
HRSA Health Resources and Services Administration

**HPSA** Health Professional Shortage Area

HSA Homeland Security Act
IME Indirect Medical Education
IOM Institute of Medicine
LEP limited English proficiency

NCHS National Center for Health Statistics

NFCSP National Family Caregiver Support Program

NHSC
National Health Service Corps
NIH
National Institutes of Health
NMHC
Nurse-Managed Health Center

NQF National Quality Forum
OAA Older Americans Act

OMB Office of Management and Budget

**ONCHIT** Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology

PHSA Public Health Service Act
PHWC Public Health Workforce Corps

PQRI Physician Quality Reporting Initiative

QHBP Qualified Health Benefits Plan

RHQDAPU Reporting Hospital Quality Data for Annual Payment Update

SBHC School-Based Health Clinic
SNF skilled nursing facilities
SSA Social Security Act

SSAN such sums as may be necessary
WIA Workforce Investment Act

#### **Author Information**

C. Stephen Redhead, Coordinator Specialist in Health Policy

Andrew R. Sommers
Analyst in Public Health and Epidemiology

Kirsten J. Colello Specialist in Health and Aging Policy Ramya Sundararaman Analyst in Public Health

Elayne J. Heisler

Analyst in Health Services

Cliff Binder

Analyst in Health Care Financing

Sarah A. Lister

Specialist in Public Health and Epidemiology

Jim Hahn

Analyst in Health Care Financing

Bernice Reyes-Akinbileje

Analyst in Health Resources and Services

Judith A. Johnson

Specialist in Biomedical Policy

Amanda K. Sarata

Analyst in Health Policy and Genetics

Amalia K. Corby-Edwards

Analyst in Public Health and Epidemiology

Erin D. Williams

Specialist in Public Health and Bioethics

#### Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.